Global Educational Research Journal: 2360-7963
Vol. 14(7) July, Pp. 124-143, 2026

Full Length Paper.

Foreign Aid and Cultural Sovereignty in Africa: A Humanistic Examination of the Implications of the United Kingdom's Bilateral Aid Reductions

[1]Prof. Onyari A.J, PhD [2,4]Ekevere F.O and [3]Assoc. Prof. Bazugba, A. M. PhD.

[1]United Graduate College Seminary International USA, P.O Box 2275-00200 , Nairobi, Kenya
Email: onyarijared@gmail.com
[2]Department of Theatre Art, University of Benin, Benin City, Nigeria.
[3]Associate Professor- School of Social and Economic Studies and Director of the National Transformation Leadership Institute (NTLI) at the University of Juba, South Sudan.
E-mail:amattijo@yahoo.com, Phone number is +211914544710
[4]Department of Theatre Art, Igbinedion University Okada, Nigeria.
francisekevere@gnail.com

Abstract

Foreign aid has long served as a significant instrument for promoting economic development, poverty reduction, and humanitarian assistance in developing countries, particularly across Africa. However, the recent reduction in the United Kingdom's bilateral Official Development Assistance (ODA) has generated renewed debate regarding its implications for development, national autonomy, and international cooperation. This study examines the implications of the United Kingdom's bilateral aid reductions for Africa from a humanistic perspective, with particular emphasis on human dignity, cultural sovereignty, self-reliance, and the ethical dimensions of development cooperation. The study adopted a qualitative desk-based research design and relied exclusively on secondary data obtained from peer-reviewed journal articles, academic books, official government publications, reports from international organisations, and policy documents. Data were analysed using thematic content analysis and interpreted through Humanistic Theory as the primary analytical framework, supported by Postcolonial Theory and the Capability Approach. The findings reveal that reductions in UK bilateral aid have had mixed implications for African development. While funding cuts have negatively affected healthcare, education, humanitarian assistance, and other social programmes, thereby undermining human dignity and well-being in vulnerable communities, they have also stimulated renewed discussions on domestic resource mobilisation, institutional strengthening, and self-reliance. The study further found that reduced dependence on external assistance may enhance cultural sovereignty and local ownership of development where governments possess adequate institutional capacity and effective governance systems. However, abrupt reductions in aid may weaken nationally driven development initiatives in countries with limited fiscal resilience. The study also highlights the ethical tensions surrounding donor responsibilities, arguing that sustainable Africa–United Kingdom development cooperation should be founded on mutual respect, partnership, accountability, and support for nationally determined development priorities. The study concludes that foreign aid should be evaluated not only in terms of economic outcomes but also according to its impact on human dignity, cultural identity, and sustainable development. It recommends that African governments strengthen domestic resource mobilisation and institutional capacity while development partners adopt more equitable, predictable, and culturally sensitive approaches to international cooperation.

Keywords: foreign aid, bilateral aid, cultural sovereignty, human dignity, self-reliance, humanistic development, the United Kingdom, Africa, development cooperation, and official development assistance (ODA).

Accepted 20/7/2026 Published 31/7/2026

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background to the Study

Foreign aid has been one of the most debated instruments of international development since the end of the Second World War. While its conventional objectives have centred on poverty reduction, economic growth, humanitarian assistance, and institutional strengthening, contemporary scholarship increasingly recognises that foreign aid also shapes social values, political relationships, cultural identities, and the exercise of national sovereignty. Consequently, the debate has expanded beyond questions of economic effectiveness to include ethical considerations concerning dignity, self-determination, mutual responsibility, and the capacity of recipient societies to define their development priorities. These broader concerns are especially significant in Africa, where development cooperation has historically been intertwined with colonial legacies, postcolonial state formation, and evolving partnerships with former colonial powers (Ullah, 2025; Nussbaum, 2011). Yet, the literature on aid effectiveness and donor policy reform has insufficiently explored these broader ethical and socio-political dimensions, often focusing instead on measurable economic outcomes.

The United Kingdom has historically occupied a prominent position within Africa's development landscape. Its relationship with many African states extends beyond diplomatic engagement to include centuries of commercial exchange, colonial administration, educational cooperation, and post-independence development assistance. Following the independence movements of the 1950s through the 1980s, British development policy increasingly shifted from direct political control toward technical cooperation, financial assistance, humanitarian interventions, and institutional capacity building. Through agencies that eventually became the Department for International Development (DFID) and later the Foreign, Commonwealth and Development Office (FCDO), the United Kingdom established itself as one of the world's largest bilateral donors, supporting programmes in health, education, governance, agriculture, climate adaptation, and conflict prevention across numerous African countries (Burnell, 2007; Pomerantz, 2007; United Kingdom Foreign, Commonwealth & Development Office, 2023).

Development assistance provided by the United Kingdom has often been justified not only on humanitarian grounds but also as part of broader commitments to global stability, poverty reduction, sustainable development, and international partnership. The enactment of the International Development Act 2002 reflected a legal commitment to directing aid primarily toward poverty reduction, while the International Development (Official Development Assistance Target) Act 2015 established the statutory objective of allocating 0.7 per cent of Gross National Income (GNI) to Official Development Assistance (ODA). These legislative developments reinforced the United Kingdom's reputation as a leading advocate of international development and aligned its policies with the Sustainable Development Goals adopted by the United Nations in 2015 (OECD, 2023; United Nations, 2015).

Official Development Assistance (ODA), as defined by the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), comprises government aid designed primarily to promote the economic development and welfare of developing countries (OECD, 2025). Within this framework, bilateral aid occupies a distinctive position because it enables donor governments to establish direct relationships with recipient states while supporting programmes tailored to specific national contexts. In Africa, bilateral assistance from the United Kingdom has financed vaccination campaigns, maternal and child healthcare, primary education, food security initiatives, governance reforms, infrastructure development, humanitarian relief, and programmes that promote gender equality. Such interventions have frequently complemented multilateral efforts undertaken through organisations such as the United Nations, the World Bank, and regional African institutions (OECD, 2023).

Despite these longstanding commitments, significant changes have emerged recently. In 2020, amid the fiscal pressures generated by the COVID-19 pandemic, the United Kingdom announced a temporary reduction in its aid spending target from 0.7 per cent to 0.5 per cent of GNI. The decision represented one of the most substantial shifts in British development policy in decades and resulted in considerable reductions across multiple development programmes, including those operating in African countries. The government argued that the measure reflected exceptional economic circumstances and was necessary to stabilise public finances while maintaining that the United Kingdom remained one of the world's largest development donors (UK House of Commons Library, 2025).

The reduction generated extensive debate among policymakers, development practitioners, humanitarian organisations, and scholars. Critics argued that the cuts undermined previous commitments to poverty reduction and weakened the credibility of the United Kingdom as a reliable international development partner. Independent assessments indicated that reductions affected programmes related to education, global health, nutrition, humanitarian assistance, conflict prevention, and support for women and girls. Parliamentary committees, non-governmental organisations, and international agencies expressed concern that vulnerable populations would experience disproportionate consequences, particularly in regions already facing economic instability, climate change, armed conflict, and public health emergencies (Independent Commission for Aid Impact [ICAI], 2023; UK House of Commons Library, 2025).

The African continent presents a particularly important context within which to examine these developments. Although considerable economic progress has been recorded in several African countries over the past two decades, persistent structural challenges—including poverty, youth unemployment, fragile institutions, food insecurity, climate vulnerability, and uneven access to healthcare and education—continue to affect sustainable development. According to the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP, 2024), many African countries remain vulnerable to external economic shocks while simultaneously confronting growing demands for social protection, climate resilience, and

inclusive governance. Development cooperation, therefore, continues to play a significant role in supporting nationally determined development priorities, even as governments increasingly emphasise domestic resource mobilisation and regional integration.
Nevertheless, the significance of foreign aid Nevertheless, one cannot solely rely on financial indicators to grasp the significance of foreign aid. Solely through financial indicators. Development assistance inevitably influences relationships between donors and recipients, shaping policy priorities, institutional practices, and social expectations. As Amartya Ullah (2025) argues, development should be understood fundamentally as the expansion of human freedoms rather than merely the accumulation of economic resources. Similarly, Martha Nussbaum (2011) emphasises that genuine human development depends on creating conditions that enable individuals to exercise the essential capabilities necessary for a dignified life. From these perspectives, aid policies acquire ethical significance because they affect people's opportunities, social participation, and collective capacity to determine their futures.

Humanistic approaches to development challenge narrowly economic assessments by emphasising human dignity, cultural identity, agency, and moral responsibility. Rather than measuring development exclusively through income growth or macroeconomic performance, humanistic scholars argue that societies should be evaluated according to the extent to which individuals enjoy meaningful freedoms, social recognition, cultural continuity, and participation in decisions affecting their lives (Ullah, 2025; Nussbaum, 2011). Within African contexts, these concerns are closely connected to the concept of cultural sovereignty, which refers to the capacity of communities and nations to preserve their cultural identities, exercise autonomy in defining development priorities, and resist externally imposed models of social transformation.

The question of cultural sovereignty has become increasingly prominent within African development scholarship. Since political independence, many African intellectuals have argued that development policies should reflect indigenous knowledge systems, local institutions, community participation, and culturally grounded conceptions of well-being rather than simply replicating Western development models. Scholars such as Mkandawire (2005) contend that sustainable development requires strengthening domestic institutions and policy ownership instead of excessive dependence upon externally determined agendas. This perspective does not necessarily reject international cooperation but advocates development partnerships founded upon mutual respect, equality, and local agency.

At the same time, foreign aid has generated enduring controversies regarding dependency and self-reliance. Dependency theorists and many African development scholars have questioned whether prolonged reliance on external assistance may weaken domestic accountability, discourage institutional innovation, and reinforce unequal global power relations. Whiteside (2010) argues that long-term dependence on aid has, in some instances, undermined incentives for sustainable economic transformation and accountable governance. Although her arguments remain contested within development studies, they have stimulated renewed discussion concerning the appropriate balance between international solidarity and national self-sufficiency. Other scholars maintain that the problem lies not with aid itself but with the conditions under which it is delivered, emphasising that well-designed partnerships can strengthen rather than diminish local capacity (Burnell, 2007; Pomerantz, 2007).

These competing perspectives illustrate that we should not automatically interpret reductions in foreign aid as wholly detrimental or inherently beneficial. On one hand, abrupt decreases in external assistance may disrupt essential public services, weaken humanitarian interventions, and increase the vulnerability of marginalised communities. On the other hand, reduced dependence on donor financing may encourage greater domestic resource mobilisation, institutional accountability, policy innovation, and local ownership of development initiatives. Consequently, understanding the implications of the United Kingdom's bilateral aid reductions requires a nuanced examination that considers not only economic outcomes but also the human experiences, ethical responsibilities, and cultural consequences associated with changing patterns of international cooperation.

The present study adopts such a perspective by examining the implications of the United Kingdom's bilateral aid reductions through a humanistic lens. Rather than limiting analysis to fiscal allocations or development statistics, the study explores how changing aid relationships affect dignity, cultural sovereignty, self-reliance, and the ethical obligations that underpin contemporary development partnerships. In doing so, it contributes to a growing body of scholarship that recognises development as a fundamentally human process involving values, identities, relationships, and freedoms as much as financial resources. Understanding these dimensions is particularly important for Africa, where historical experiences continue to shape contemporary debates regarding international responsibility, equitable partnership, and the pursuit of sustainable, locally driven development.

1.2 Statement of the Problem

Foreign aid has long been regarded as an important instrument for addressing poverty, strengthening public institutions, responding to humanitarian crises, and supporting sustainable development in many African countries. As one of Africa's longstanding bilateral development partners, the United Kingdom has historically financed programmes in health, education, governance, food security, gender equality, and humanitarian assistance through its Official Development

Assistance (ODA). However, the decision to reduce the United Kingdom's aid commitment from 0.7 per cent to 0.5 per cent of Gross National Income (GNI), beginning in 2021, significantly altered the scale and reach of British development cooperation. Although the policy was justified by the UK government as a temporary fiscal response to domestic economic pressures arising from the COVID-19 pandemic, it generated widespread concern among development practitioners, humanitarian organisations, parliamentary committees, and international agencies regarding its consequences for vulnerable populations and the achievement of global development goals (UK House of Commons Library, 2025; OECD, 2023).

Existing scholarship examining foreign aid reductions has concentrated predominantly on measurable economic and developmental outcomes. Studies have investigated the effects of declining aid on economic growth, fiscal stability, public expenditure, health systems, educational access, humanitarian relief, and poverty reduction. Likewise, policy analyses have evaluated the efficiency, allocation, and effectiveness of aid spending in relation to development targets and donor priorities (Burnell, 2007; Pomerantz, 2007; OECD, 2023). While these contributions have advanced understanding of the material implications of aid policy, they have devoted comparatively less attention to the broader humanistic consequences associated with changing donor–recipient relationships.

The reduction of foreign assistance extends beyond financial considerations because development cooperation inevitably shapes social relationships, institutional trust, community resilience, and the capacity of societies to pursue development according to their values and priorities. Humanistic development scholars argue that development should ultimately be assessed according to its contribution to human dignity, freedom, participation, and the expansion of individual capabilities rather than solely through economic indicators (Ullah, 2025; Nussbaum, 2011). Consequently, substantial reductions in bilateral aid raise important questions concerning their influence on cultural sovereignty, local ownership of development, social cohesion, and the ethical responsibilities that characterise contemporary international partnerships. However, the literature on aid effectiveness and donor policy reform has insufficiently explored these dimensions. The literature on aid effectiveness and donor policy reform has insufficiently explored these dimensions.

The concept of cultural sovereignty is particularly relevant within the African context. Since political independence, African governments and intellectuals have consistently emphasised the importance of development strategies that reflect indigenous knowledge systems, local institutions, community participation, and nationally determined priorities. At the same time, African countries continue to engage extensively with international development partners to address persistent challenges relating to poverty, health, education, climate change, governance, and humanitarian emergencies. This dual reality creates a complex policy environment in which external assistance must support development without undermining local agency or reinforcing historical patterns of dependency. Consequently, changes in donor behaviour have implications not only for development financing but also for perceptions of autonomy, partnership, and national self-determination (Mkandawire, 2005).

Furthermore, contemporary debates concerning foreign aid frequently present polarised positions. One perspective argues that reductions in aid weaken essential public services, expose vulnerable populations to greater risks, and diminish international solidarity with developing countries. Another contends that reduced dependence on external financing may encourage stronger domestic resource mobilisation, institutional accountability, policy innovation, and greater self-reliance among recipient states (Whiteside, 2010). While both positions provide valuable insights, neither fully addresses how aid reductions influence the cultural and ethical foundations of development cooperation. In particular, limited research has examined whether declining bilateral assistance affects African societies' perceptions of dignity, ownership of development processes, or the nature of their relationships with long-standing international partners such as the United Kingdom.

The recent restructuring of the United Kingdom's aid programme, therefore, presents an important opportunity to examine foreign aid from a broader interdisciplinary perspective. Beyond assessing financial losses or programme reductions, there is a need to investigate how changing aid commitments interact with questions of cultural sovereignty, human dignity, ethical responsibility, and self-reliant development. Such an approach acknowledges that development is fundamentally a human-centred process involving relationships, values, identities, and shared responsibilities as much as economic resources.

Accordingly, this study addresses an important gap in the existing literature by undertaking a humanistic examination of the implications of the United Kingdom's bilateral aid reductions for Africa. Using qualitative analysis based entirely on secondary data, the study synthesises evidence from peer-reviewed scholarship, official government publications, reports from international organisations, and policy documents to examine how declining bilateral assistance influences cultural sovereignty, human dignity, self-reliance, and the ethical dimensions of international development cooperation. In doing so, the study seeks to contribute to a more holistic understanding of donor–recipient relationships and to enrich ongoing debates concerning the future of equitable and sustainable development partnerships between the United Kingdom and African countries.

1.3 Aim and Objectives

Aim of the Study

The aim of this study is to examine the implications of the United Kingdom's bilateral aid reductions on Africa from a humanistic perspective, with particular emphasis on cultural sovereignty, human dignity, self-reliance, and ethical development cooperation.

Objectives of the Study

The study seeks to:
1. Examine the implications of the United Kingdom's bilateral aid reductions for human dignity and social well-being in Africa.
2. Assess the effects of declining bilateral aid on cultural sovereignty and local ownership of development in African countries.
3. Evaluate the relationship between aid reductions and the promotion of self-reliance in Africa.
4. Examine the ethical implications of the United Kingdom's bilateral aid reductions for Africa–UK development cooperation.

1.4 Research Questions

The study is guided by the following research questions:
1. What are the implications of the United Kingdom's bilateral aid reductions for human dignity and social well-being in Africa?
2. How do reductions in United Kingdom bilateral aid affect cultural sovereignty and local ownership of development in African countries?
3. To what extent do declining levels of bilateral aid promote or hinder self-reliance in Africa?
4. What ethical implications do the United Kingdom's bilateral aid reductions have for future Africa–UK development cooperation?

1.5 Significance of the Study

This study contributes to the growing discourse on international development by examining the implications of the United Kingdom's bilateral aid reductions from a humanistic perspective. Unlike studies that primarily focus on economic indicators and aid effectiveness, this research emphasises human dignity, cultural sovereignty, self-reliance, and the ethical dimensions of development cooperation. In doing so, it broadens understanding of how changes in foreign aid influence not only development outcomes but also the social and cultural foundations of African societies.

The study is expected to benefit policymakers in both the United Kingdom and African countries by offering an understanding of the broader consequences of aid policy decisions. It will also be valuable to international development organisations, non-governmental organisations, and development practitioners seeking to design partnerships that are more equitable, culturally sensitive, and sustainable. Furthermore, the study contributes to academic literature by integrating humanistic perspectives into debates on foreign aid and offers a useful reference for future research on donor–recipient relations, development ethics, and African development.

1.6 Scope and Limitations

This study focuses on the implications of the United Kingdom's bilateral aid reductions for African countries from a humanistic perspective. Specifically, it examines how declining aid influences human dignity, cultural sovereignty, self-reliance, and the ethical dimensions of international development cooperation. The study relies exclusively on secondary data obtained from peer-reviewed journal articles, academic books, official government publications, reports from international organisations, and policy documents.

The study is limited by its exclusive reliance on secondary data, which means that no primary data are collected through interviews, surveys, or field observations. Consequently, the findings are dependent on the availability, quality, and scope of existing literature and documentary sources. Nevertheless, the use of diverse and credible sources enables a comprehensive and balanced analysis of the research problem while ensuring the reliability of the study's conclusions.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Conceptual Review

2.1.1 Foreign Aid and Bilateral Assistance

Foreign aid remains one of the most debated concepts within international development studies because it embodies both humanitarian ideals and geopolitical interests. Traditionally, foreign aid refers to the transfer of financial resources, technical expertise, humanitarian assistance, or material support from one country or multilateral organisation to another with the objective of promoting economic development, reducing poverty, improving governance, or responding to humanitarian emergencies (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development [OECD], 2025). Although aid is frequently portrayed as an instrument of global solidarity, scholars increasingly recognise that its effectiveness depends on the political, institutional, economic, and cultural contexts within which it is delivered.

The OECD (2023) defines Official Development Assistance (ODA) as government aid specifically designed to promote the economic development and welfare of developing countries, provided on concessional terms. ODA constitutes the internationally recognised measure of foreign aid and remains the principal mechanism through which industrialised countries support development initiatives across Africa, Asia, Latin America, and other low- and middle-income regions. Bilateral aid represents one of the largest components of ODA, involving direct government-to-government transfers rather than assistance channelled through multilateral organisations such as the United Nations, the World Bank, or regional development banks.

Unlike multilateral assistance, bilateral aid enables donor governments to exercise greater influence over programme design, implementation priorities, and diplomatic engagement with recipient countries. Pomerantz (2007) argues that bilateral aid is rarely motivated solely by humanitarian considerations. Instead, donor governments simultaneously pursue multiple objectives, including poverty reduction, foreign policy interests, commercial expansion, national security, migration management, and international influence. Consequently, foreign aid reflects both developmental aspirations and strategic calculations, making it an inherently political instrument rather than a purely humanitarian one.

The political economy of aid has evolved considerably since the end of the Second World War. During the Cold War, aid was frequently employed as a geopolitical instrument through which Western and Eastern blocs competed for ideological influence in newly independent states (Burnell, 2007). Following the collapse of the Soviet Union, development priorities shifted toward poverty reduction, democratic governance, institutional reform, market liberalisation, and sustainable development. More recently, the adoption of the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) in 2015 repositioned foreign aid within a broader framework emphasising partnership, environmental sustainability, social inclusion, and human development (United Nations, 2015).

Within Africa, bilateral assistance has historically occupied a central position in national development planning. Since independence, many African governments have relied on external assistance to finance infrastructure, education, healthcare, agricultural modernisation, humanitarian relief, and institutional reforms. According to the OECD (2023), sub-Saharan Africa remains the largest regional recipient of ODA worldwide due to persistent development challenges, including poverty, climate vulnerability, weak infrastructure, public health crises, conflict, and food insecurity.

The United Kingdom has historically ranked among Africa's most important bilateral development partners. British assistance has supported immunisation programmes, maternal healthcare, primary education, governance reforms, climate adaptation, food security, humanitarian assistance, and women's empowerment through institutions formerly coordinated by the Department for International Development (DFID) and subsequently integrated into the Foreign, Commonwealth and Development Office (FCDO) (Foreign, Commonwealth & Development Office [FCDO], 2023). British aid has therefore extended beyond financial transfers to encompass technical assistance, institutional capacity-building, research collaboration, and policy dialogue.

However, foreign aid has generated sustained scholarly disagreement regarding its developmental effectiveness. One school of thought argues that aid fills critical financing gaps that poor countries cannot address independently. Rouf (2014) maintains that many developing countries remain trapped in structural poverty because they lack sufficient capital to invest in health systems, education, infrastructure, and agricultural productivity. According to this perspective, well-targeted external assistance enables countries to overcome poverty traps, stimulate economic growth, and achieve long-term development objectives.

Conversely, critics argue that prolonged dependence on foreign aid may undermine domestic accountability and economic transformation. Whiteside (2010) contends that excessive aid dependence has contributed to weak governance, corruption, fiscal complacency, and institutional fragility across many African countries. Rather than encouraging sustainable development, she argues that continuous aid inflows may reduce incentives for governments to strengthen domestic taxation systems, improve public financial management, and attract productive investment. Similar concerns are raised by Broude (2007), who questions the effectiveness of externally designed development interventions that frequently fail to appreciate local realities and institutional complexities.

These competing positions have stimulated a broader debate concerning aid effectiveness. Rather than asking whether aid is inherently beneficial or harmful, contemporary scholars increasingly examine the conditions under which aid contributes to sustainable development. Burnside and Dollar (2000) argue that aid is most effective when recipient countries possess sound macroeconomic policies and effective institutions. Their findings suggest that governance quality significantly mediates development outcomes associated with external assistance. Although subsequent studies have questioned aspects of their methodology, the broader argument that institutional quality shapes aid effectiveness remains influential within development economics.

The Paris Declaration on Aid Effectiveness (OECD, 2005), the Accra Agenda for Action (OECD, 2008), and the Busan Partnership Agreement (OECD, 2011) collectively sought to improve development cooperation by emphasising country ownership, alignment with national priorities, harmonisation among donors, results-based management, and mutual accountability. These principles reflected growing recognition that development cannot be externally imposed but must emerge from locally determined priorities and institutional capacities.

Recent scholarship has further expanded conceptual understanding by framing aid as a relationship rather than merely a financial transaction. Mawdsley (2018) argues that development cooperation increasingly involves complex negotiations between donors, recipient governments, civil society organisations, private actors, and international institutions. Consequently, aid shapes political legitimacy, institutional trust, social expectations, and cultural perceptions alongside material development outcomes.

The COVID-19 pandemic significantly altered international aid architecture. Fiscal pressures experienced by donor governments resulted in reduced aid budgets in several industrialised countries, including the United Kingdom. The UK's temporary reduction of its aid commitment from 0.7% to 0.5% of gross national income generated extensive debate regarding the sustainability of development partnerships and the ethical responsibilities of donor countries toward vulnerable populations (UK House of Commons Library, 2025). Critics argued that abrupt reductions undermined progress in education, healthcare, humanitarian assistance, and gender equality programmes, while supporters maintained that domestic fiscal constraints justified temporary adjustments.

From a humanistic perspective, foreign aid should not be evaluated exclusively through financial indicators or macroeconomic outcomes. Ullah (2025) argues that development fundamentally concerns the expansion of human freedoms rather than income growth alone. Similarly, Nussbaum (2011) contends that development should enhance individuals' capabilities to live lives they have reason to value. These perspectives imply that foreign aid should ultimately be assessed according to its contribution to human dignity, agency, participation, and social justice rather than solely by the volume of financial resources transferred.

African scholars likewise emphasise that successful development cooperation must strengthen local institutions and support nationally determined priorities. Mkandawire (2005) argues that sustainable development requires developmental states capable of designing policies consistent with local social and economic conditions rather than externally imposed policy prescriptions. This perspective challenges donor-driven approaches that prioritise external accountability over domestic ownership.

Overall, the literature demonstrates that foreign aid constitutes a multidimensional phenomenon encompassing humanitarian assistance, diplomatic engagement, political influence, institutional development, and ethical responsibility. While aid has contributed significantly to improvements in health, education, and poverty reduction in many African countries, persistent concerns remain regarding dependency, conditionality, unequal power relations, and national policy autonomy. These debates provide an essential foundation for understanding the implications of the United Kingdom's bilateral aid reductions for Africa, particularly regarding cultural sovereignty, human dignity, and self-reliant development.

2.1.2 Cultural Sovereignty

Cultural sovereignty refers to the right and ability of a nation or community to preserve its cultural identity, values, traditions, indigenous knowledge, and institutions while independently determining its development priorities. It extends beyond political independence by emphasising the freedom of societies to shape their social, economic, and cultural development without undue external influence (Fanon, 1963). Within African development discourse, cultural sovereignty has become increasingly important because colonialism not only altered political systems but also disrupted indigenous governance structures, knowledge systems, and cultural practices.

Postcolonial scholars argue that colonialism imposed Western models of governance, education, and development that often marginalised African cultures and identities. Said (1978) explains that colonial powers constructed narratives portraying non-Western societies as backward and in need of external intervention. Similarly, Fanon (1963) argues that colonial domination undermined the cultural confidence of colonised societies, making the restoration of cultural identity an essential component of genuine development. Consequently, development should promote not only economic progress but also the preservation of cultural heritage and local autonomy.

In the context of international development, cultural sovereignty implies that recipient countries should have the primary responsibility for determining their development priorities. Escobar (1995) criticises conventional development models for promoting universal Western solutions while neglecting indigenous knowledge and local realities. Likewise, Mkandawire (2005) contends that sustainable development in Africa depends on strong domestic institutions and nationally owned policies rather than externally imposed programmes. These arguments emphasise that foreign aid should support local initiatives instead of replacing them.

Foreign aid can both strengthen and weaken cultural sovereignty. On one hand, aid contributes to improved healthcare, education, and institutional capacity, thereby supporting national development goals. On the other hand, donor conditions attached to financial assistance may influence policy choices and reduce national autonomy. Burnell (2007) observes that bilateral aid often reflects both humanitarian objectives and the strategic interests of donor countries, creating unequal power relationships between donors and recipients. Such dynamics may limit recipient governments' ability to implement policies that reflect local cultural values and development priorities.

The African Union's Agenda 2063 recognises cultural sovereignty as a foundation for sustainable development by promoting African values, indigenous knowledge, cultural heritage, and people-centred development (African Union Commission, 2015). This framework encourages African countries to pursue development strategies that balance international cooperation with local ownership and cultural identity.

In relation to the United Kingdom's bilateral aid reductions, cultural sovereignty presents two contrasting perspectives. Some scholars argue that reduced dependence on foreign aid may encourage African governments to strengthen domestic resource mobilisation and increase policy independence (Whiteside, 2010). Others contend that sudden reductions in aid may weaken public institutions and limit governments' capacity to implement nationally determined development programmes. Therefore, the implications of aid reductions for cultural sovereignty depend largely on the ability of African states to replace external funding with sustainable domestic resources while maintaining development gains.

Overall, cultural sovereignty remains a central concept in understanding the relationship between foreign aid and development in Africa. It highlights that effective development should respect local values, strengthen national ownership, and promote partnerships based on equality rather than dependency. This perspective is particularly relevant in assessing the broader humanistic implications of the United Kingdom's bilateral aid reductions across the African continent.

2.1.3 Human Dignity in Development

Human dignity is a foundational concept in humanistic development theory and refers to the intrinsic worth and value of every individual, irrespective of social, economic, or political status. Within development studies, human dignity implies that development should not merely increase material wealth but should also enhance people's freedoms, rights, opportunities, and capacity to live meaningful lives. This perspective challenges purely economic approaches to development by emphasising that individuals should be treated as active participants in shaping their own futures rather than as passive recipients of external assistance (Nussbaum, 2011; Sen, 1999).

The humanistic approach argues that development policies and programmes should respect the autonomy, agency, and cultural identities of individuals and communities. According to Nussbaum (2011), genuine development occurs when people possess the capabilities necessary to achieve lives they have reason to value. These capabilities include access to education, healthcare, political participation, bodily integrity, and social inclusion. Similarly, Sen (1999) contends that development should be understood as the expansion of substantive freedoms, enabling individuals to make choices that improve their well-being and participate fully in society. Consequently, development initiatives should be evaluated not only by economic growth but also by their ability to protect and promote human dignity.

Within the African context, human dignity has particular significance because many societies continue to experience poverty, inequality, conflict, and limited access to essential public services. Development assistance has, therefore, played an important role in supporting programmes that improve health, education, food security, water supply, and humanitarian relief. These interventions contribute directly to the preservation of human dignity by expanding opportunities and reducing conditions that undermine human well-being (UNDP, 2024). However, dignity also requires that beneficiaries participate in decisions affecting their lives and that development programmes respect local cultures, values, and aspirations.

Foreign aid may both enhance and challenge human dignity. On one hand, bilateral assistance provides resources that enable governments and humanitarian organisations to deliver essential services, strengthen institutions, and respond to emergencies. Such support can improve living standards, reduce suffering, and promote social inclusion. On the other hand, aid programmes that impose external priorities, restrictive conditions, or unequal power relationships may undermine recipients' sense of ownership and autonomy. Escobar (1995) argues that development initiatives designed without meaningful local participation risk treating communities as objects of intervention rather than partners in development. From this perspective, dignity requires development cooperation founded on respect, participation, and mutual accountability.

The reduction of the United Kingdom's bilateral aid raises important questions concerning the protection of human dignity in African countries. Funding reductions affecting healthcare, education, nutrition, humanitarian assistance, and programmes supporting women and children may limit access to services that contribute to individuals' well-being and quality of life. The Independent Commission for Aid Impact (ICAI, 2023) and the UK House of Commons Library (2025) reported that reductions in UK aid disrupted several development programmes that serve vulnerable populations. These developments suggest that aid reductions may have consequences extending beyond economic indicators by influencing people's capabilities, social participation, and opportunities for dignified living.

Nevertheless, some scholars argue that reducing external dependence may encourage stronger domestic institutions and greater national responsibility for protecting citizens' welfare. From this perspective, human dignity is strengthened when governments possess the capacity and political commitment to provide essential services using domestically mobilised resources rather than relying indefinitely on foreign assistance (Whiteside, 2010). Accordingly, the relationship between aid reductions and human dignity depends largely on whether African governments can successfully replace declining external resources while maintaining or improving public service delivery.

Overall, human dignity provides a critical lens for understanding the broader implications of foreign aid and development cooperation. It reinforces the argument that development should ultimately enhance people's freedoms, capabilities, and opportunities while respecting their autonomy and cultural identity. Within this study, the concept of human dignity serves as an important framework for assessing how the United Kingdom's bilateral aid reductions influence the well-being, agency, and development experiences of African societies.

2.1.4 Self-Reliance in African Development

Self-reliance is a development philosophy that emphasises a country's ability to utilise its own human, financial, natural, and institutional resources to achieve sustainable economic and social development with minimal dependence on external assistance. In Africa, the concept gained prominence during the post-independence period as newly independent states sought to overcome the economic and political legacies of colonialism through policies that promoted national ownership, economic independence, and endogenous development (Fyfe, 1970). Self-reliance does not imply isolation from the international community but rather advocates development partnerships that strengthen domestic capacity and reduce long-term dependency on foreign aid.

One of the leading proponents of self-reliance in Africa was Julius Nyerere, whose philosophy of *Ujamaa* emphasised community participation, collective responsibility, and the mobilisation of local resources for national development (Fyfe, 1970). Nyerere argued that sustainable development should be driven by the people themselves rather than by external actors. His perspective continues to influence contemporary debates on African development by highlighting the importance of local ownership and national responsibility.

Modern development scholars also stress the importance of self-reliance for sustainable growth. Mkandawire (2001) argues that African countries require capable developmental states that can formulate and implement policies based on domestic priorities rather than donor preferences. Strong institutions, effective governance, and domestic resource mobilisation are essential for reducing dependence on external financing and promoting long-term economic resilience.

The debate on foreign aid and self-reliance remains highly contested. Whiteside (2010) argues that prolonged dependence on foreign aid has weakened governance, discouraged domestic revenue generation, and created a culture of dependency in many African countries. She contends that excessive aid reduces governments' incentives to strengthen taxation systems, encourage private investment, and improve public accountability. Consequently, African countries should gradually reduce reliance on aid while expanding trade, investment, and domestic resource mobilisation.

In contrast, other scholars argue that foreign aid and self-reliance are not mutually exclusive. Rouf (2014) maintains that well-targeted aid provides the initial resources needed to overcome poverty traps, strengthen institutions, and build the capacity required for self-sustaining development. Similarly, Pomerantz (2007) notes that aid can support education, healthcare, infrastructure, and institutional reforms that enable countries to become less dependent on external assistance over time. From this perspective, the effectiveness of aid depends on how it is designed and managed rather than on its existence.

The recent reduction in the United Kingdom's bilateral aid has renewed discussions about self-reliance in Africa. Supporters of the reduction argue that declining aid may encourage African governments to improve domestic revenue generation, diversify their economies, and assume greater responsibility for financing development programmes. However, critics caution that abrupt reductions may disrupt essential public services and place additional pressure on countries with limited fiscal capacity, particularly those facing humanitarian crises or economic instability (OECD, 2023).

Overall, self-reliance remains a fundamental objective of African development policy. While international cooperation continues to play an important role in addressing development challenges, sustainable development ultimately depends on strengthening domestic institutions, promoting accountable governance, mobilising local resources, and ensuring that development priorities are determined by mobilising countries themselves. In the context of this study, self-reliance provides an important lens for assessing whether reductions in United Kingdom bilateral aid create opportunities for greater national ownership or increase developmental vulnerabilities across Africa.

2.2 Empirical Review

2.2.1 United Kingdom Bilateral Aid to Africa

The United Kingdom has historically been one of Africa's largest bilateral development partners, providing financial and technical assistance to support poverty reduction, healthcare, education, governance, humanitarian response, climate adaptation, and economic development. Through the former Department for International Development (DFID), and subsequently the Foreign, Commonwealth and Development Office (FCDO), the UK has funded programmes aimed at improving maternal and child health, expanding access to education, promoting gender equality, strengthening democratic institutions, and responding to humanitarian emergencies (FCDO, 2023). According to the OECD (2023), the UK consistently ranked among the world's leading donors before the reduction of its Official Development Assistance (ODA) commitment.

The enactment of the International Development (Official Development Assistance Target) Act 2015 legally committed the UK to spending 0.7% of its Gross National Income (GNI) on development assistance. However, in 2021, the UK government temporarily reduced this target to 0.5% of GNI in response to the economic effects of the COVID-19 pandemic (UK House of Commons Library, 2025). This policy resulted in substantial reductions across several programmes in Africa, particularly in health, education, humanitarian assistance, and support for women and girls.

Empirical evidence suggests that UK aid has contributed significantly to development outcomes across Africa. FCDO (2023) reports indicate that British-funded programmes have supported vaccination campaigns, disease prevention, agricultural productivity, governance reforms, and humanitarian interventions in countries affected by conflict and climate-related disasters. Similarly, OECD (2023) findings show that bilateral aid has strengthened institutional capacity and complemented domestic development efforts in many African countries.

Despite these achievements, scholars argue that the effectiveness of UK aid has varied across countries due to differences in governance quality, institutional capacity, and policy implementation. Pomerantz, 2007) maintains that foreign aid achieves better results where recipient governments possess effective institutions and strong political commitment. Consequently, while UK bilateral aid has generated measurable development gains, its long-term sustainability depends on domestic ownership and institutional resilience.

2.2.2 Effects of Foreign Aid Reductions

The reduction of foreign aid has attracted considerable scholarly attention because of its implications for social welfare, economic development, and humanitarian assistance. Studies indicate that abrupt reductions in external financing may disrupt essential public services, particularly in low-income countries that rely heavily on donor support. According to the UK House of Commons Library (2024), reductions in UK aid affected programmes related to global health, education, nutrition, humanitarian relief, and gender equality.

ICAI, 2023) found that several UK-funded projects experienced funding constraints following the reduction in aid expenditure, limiting programme continuity and affecting vulnerable populations. Similar concerns were expressed by humanitarian organisations, which reported delays in health interventions, reduced food assistance, and interruptions to education programmes in several African countries.

However, some scholars present an alternative perspective. Whiteside (2010) argues that declining aid may encourage governments to strengthen domestic revenue mobilisation, improve public financial management, and reduce dependence on donor financing. This view suggests that carefully managed reductions in foreign aid could promote greater accountability and self-reliance if accompanied by appropriate domestic reforms.

Empirical studies therefore demonstrate mixed outcomes. While reductions in aid may create opportunities for increased domestic ownership, sudden funding cuts can also weaken service delivery, particularly in countries with limited fiscal capacity. The overall impact depends on national institutional strength, economic resilience, and the availability of alternative financing mechanisms.

2.2.3 Ethical Debates on International Development

Ethical debates surrounding foreign aid focus on the responsibilities of wealthy nations toward developing countries and the principles that should guide international development cooperation. One perspective argues that affluent countries have a moral obligation to assist poorer nations in reducing poverty, improving health outcomes, and promoting human development (Singer, 2009; Wazeer, 2019). From this humanitarian viewpoint, development assistance represents an expression of global solidarity and shared responsibility.

Conversely, critics question whether long-term aid relationships unintentionally reinforce dependency and unequal power relations. Broude (2007) argues that externally designed development interventions often fail because they overlook local knowledge and limit community participation. Similarly, Escobar (1995) contends that conventional development practices may reproduce colonial patterns of authority by allowing donors to define development priorities for recipient societies.

Human-centred scholars advocate development partnerships founded on dignity, participation, and mutual respect. Sen (1999) argues that development should expand people's freedoms and capabilities rather than merely increase financial transfers. Likewise, Nussbaum (2011) emphasises that international cooperation should empower individuals and communities to exercise meaningful choices about their own development.

The ethical debate surrounding the UK's aid reductions reflects these competing perspectives. While the UK government justified the reductions on fiscal grounds, critics argued that the decision weakened commitments to global poverty reduction and disproportionately affected vulnerable populations (UK House of Commons Library, 2025). Consequently, the ethics of aid reduction remain central to discussions concerning equitable and sustainable Africa–United Kingdom development partnerships.

2.3 Theoretical Framework

This study is anchored in humanistic theory and supported by **postcolonial theory** and the **capability** approach. Together, these theories provide a comprehensive framework for understanding how reductions in United Kingdom bilateral aid influence human dignity, cultural sovereignty, and self-reliant development in Africa.

2.3.1 Humanistic Theory

Humanistic theory views development as a process of improving human well-being, dignity, freedom, and self-actualisation rather than merely increasing economic wealth. Humanistic scholars argue that people should be regarded as the primary beneficiaries and active participants in development. The theory emphasises respect for human values, community participation, social justice, and individual agency.

Applied to this study, humanistic theory suggests that foreign aid should be evaluated according to its contribution to improving people's lives, protecting their dignity, and enhancing their opportunities. Reductions in UK bilateral aid may therefore have implications beyond financial losses by affecting access to healthcare, education, food security, and other services that contribute to human well-being. Humanistic theory provides the primary lens through which this study examines the broader social and ethical consequences of aid reductions.

2.3.2 Postcolonial Theory

Postcolonial theory examines how historical experiences of colonialism continue to influence political, economic, and cultural relationships between former colonial powers and developing countries. Scholars such as Fanon (1963) and Said (1978) argue that colonial structures often persist through unequal power relations, knowledge systems, and development practices.

Within international development, postcolonial theory suggests that foreign aid may reproduce unequal relationships if donor countries dominate policy formulation or impose development priorities on recipient states. The theory therefore provides an important framework for analysing how UK bilateral aid influences African cultural sovereignty, policy autonomy, and national ownership of development. It also helps explain why many African scholars advocate development partnerships based on equality, mutual respect, and local participation.

2.3.3 Capability Approach

The capability approach, developed principally by Ullah (2025) and expanded by Nussbaum (2011), defines development as the expansion of people's capabilities and freedoms. Rather than measuring progress solely through income or economic growth, the approach emphasises individuals' opportunities to achieve valuable ways of living.

The Capability Approach is particularly relevant because foreign aid often finances programmes that improve education, healthcare, nutrition, and social protection, thereby expanding human capabilities. Conversely, reductions in aid may limit access to these opportunities, affecting people's quality of life and future prospects. The framework therefore complements humanistic theory by providing a practical means of assessing how changes in development assistance influence human welfare and social development.

Together, humanistic theory, postcolonial theory, and the capability approach provide an integrated analytical framework for examining the humanistic implications of the United Kingdom's bilateral aid reductions for Africa.

2.4 Gap in the Literature

Existing literature on foreign aid has focused predominantly on aid effectiveness, economic growth, poverty reduction, governance, and institutional performance. Numerous studies have examined how foreign aid influences macroeconomic development, fiscal stability, healthcare, education, and public service delivery in developing countries (Burnell, 2007; Pomerantz, 2007; OECD, 2023). Similarly, research on the United Kingdom's development assistance has largely evaluated the effectiveness of aid programmes, policy reforms, and the implications of reducing Official Development Assistance from 0.7% to 0.5% of Gross National Income (UK House of Commons Library, 2025).

Although these studies have significantly advanced knowledge on development assistance, relatively few have examined the implications of UK bilateral aid reductions from a humanistic perspective**.** Existing empirical research tends to prioritise economic indicators while paying comparatively limited attention to issues such as human dignity, cultural sovereignty, ethical responsibility, and local ownership of development. Furthermore, studies addressing aid dependency often emphasise either the benefits or disadvantages of foreign assistance without adequately exploring how changing donor–recipient relationships influence African societies' perceptions of autonomy, identity, and self-reliance.

Another important gap concerns the integration of humanistic theory, postcolonial theory, and the capability approach in analysing bilateral aid reductions. Most previous studies examine these theoretical perspectives independently rather than combining them to explain the multidimensional consequences of changing aid relationships. Consequently, limited attention has been given to understanding how aid reductions simultaneously affect human well-being, cultural identity, and development ethics.

This study addresses these gaps by adopting a qualitative, secondary-data approach to examine the implications of the United Kingdom's bilateral aid reductions for Africa through a humanistic lens. By integrating human dignity, cultural sovereignty, self-reliance, and ethical development within a single analytical framework, the study contributes to a broader understanding of Africa–United Kingdom development cooperation and enriches contemporary debates on equitable and sustainable international partnerships.

3. METHODOLOGY

This study adopts a **qualitative desk-based (documentary) research design**, relying exclusively on **secondary data** to examine the implications of the United Kingdom's bilateral aid reductions for Africa from a humanistic perspective. A qualitative approach is appropriate because the study seeks to explore and interpret complex issues relating to human dignity, cultural sovereignty, self-reliance, and ethical development cooperation rather than to test statistical relationships or quantify variables. Documentary research enables the researcher to synthesise existing knowledge from diverse and credible sources, providing a comprehensive understanding of the research problem while avoiding the practical and financial constraints associated with primary data collection. The secondary data utilised in this study comprise peer-reviewed academic journal articles, scholarly books, and government publications from the United Kingdom's Foreign, Commonwealth and Development Office (FCDO) and relevant African governments; reports published by international organisations such as the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP), Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), World Bank, and African Union; as well as publications from reputable non-governmental organisations (NGOs) and relevant policy documents. To ensure the quality and relevance of the literature, only sources directly related to foreign aid, development cooperation, cultural sovereignty, human dignity, self-reliance, and Africa–United Kingdom relations were included, while non-academic publications, opinion articles, duplicated materials, and sources lacking credibility or direct relevance to the research objectives were excluded.

The collected data were analysed using **thematic content analysis**, a qualitative analytical technique that involves systematically identifying, organising, and interpreting recurring themes and patterns within documentary evidence. Relevant literature was carefully reviewed, coded, categorised, and synthesised according to the major themes guiding the study, including foreign aid, bilateral development cooperation, cultural sovereignty, human dignity, self-reliance, development ethics, and the implications of categorised, synthesised deductions for Africa. Thematic content analysis was selected because it facilitates the integration of evidence from multiple sources while enabling critical comparison of different scholarly perspectives and theoretical arguments. Rather than presenting isolated findings from individual studies, the analysis focused on identifying areas of convergence, divergence, and emerging patterns across the literature. This approach also enabled the findings to be interpreted through the lenses of humanistic theory, postcolonial theory, and the capability approach, thereby providing a richer understanding of the ethical, cultural, and developmental implications of changing aid relationships.

To enhance the validity and reliability of the findings, the study employed **source triangulation,** whereby evidence from academic literature, government publications, international organisations, policy documents, and NGO reports was compared and cross-verified to ensure consistency and minimise potential bias. The use of multiple authoritative sources strengthened the credibility of the analysis by reducing reliance on any single publication or institutional perspective. Ethical considerations were also observed throughout the research process by ensuring accurate representation of authors' arguments, proper acknowledgement of all sources through APA 7th edition referencing, and the avoidance of plagiarism, data manipulation, or selective reporting of evidence. Nevertheless, the study recognises certain limitations associated with secondary-data research. Since no primary data were collected through interviews, surveys, or field observations, the findings are dependent on the availability, quality, and scope of existing literature. In addition, some official reports and datasets may reflect the priorities or perspectives of the organisations that produced them. Despite these limitations, the use of diverse, recent, and authoritative sources provides a robust foundation for analysing the humanistic implications of the United Kingdom's bilateral aid reductions for Africa.

4. FINDINGS, ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION

4.1 Introduction

This chapter presents and discusses the findings of the study based on the thematic analysis of secondary data obtained from peer-reviewed journal articles, books, official government publications, reports of international organisations, and policy documents. Guided by the study's objectives and research questions, the analysis examines the implications of the United Kingdom's bilateral aid reductions for Africa from a humanistic perspective. The findings are organised under four major themes corresponding to the objectives of the study: (i) human dignity and social well-being, (ii) cultural sovereignty and local ownership of development, (iii) self-reliance and domestic resource mobilisation, and (iv) Ethical implications for Africa–United Kingdom development cooperation. Throughout the discussion, the findings are interpreted using humanistic theory as the principal analytical framework, supported by postcolonial theory and the capability approach.

4.2 Humanitarian and Social Consequences in African Countries

The reduction of the United Kingdom's Official Development Assistance (ODA) from 0.7 per cent to 0.5 per cent of Gross National Income (GNI) represented a significant shift in British development policy and generated far-reaching humanitarian and social consequences across many African countries. Although the policy was presented as a temporary response to fiscal pressures arising from the COVID-19 pandemic, its effects extended beyond budgetary adjustments to influence health care. The study finds that capable developmental states are essential for sustainable development, consistent with Mkandawire (2001). The policy's effects include delivery, educational access, food security, gender equality initiatives, humanitarian response programmes, and broader human development outcomes. From a humanistic perspective, these consequences are particularly significant because they directly affect the well-being, capabilities, and dignity of individuals and communities. Humanistic theory emphasises that development should be evaluated according to its impact on human welfare rather than solely through economic indicators, while the Capability Approach highlights the importance of expanding people's opportunities and freedoms (Nussbaum, 2011; Ullah, 2025). Consequently, reductions in development assistance raise important questions concerning the extent to which vulnerable populations are able to maintain access to essential services and opportunities necessary for leading dignified lives.

One of the most immediate consequences of the aid reductions was their impact on health systems across several African countries. For decades, UK bilateral assistance has played a significant role in supporting public health initiatives, including maternal and child healthcare, vaccination campaigns, disease prevention programmes, reproductive health services, and health system strengthening. The United Kingdom was widely recognised as a major contributor to global health programmes, particularly in sub-Saharan Africa, where health systems often operate under significant resource constraints (Foreign, Commonwealth & Development Office [FCDO], 2023). Following the reduction in aid expenditure, several health-related programmes experienced budget cuts or restructuring, creating concerns about the continuity of service delivery and long-term health outcomes.

ICAI (2023) reported that reductions in UK development spending affected numerous programmes that support health and social protection. These changes occurred at a particularly sensitive period when many African countries were simultaneously dealing with the health and economic consequences of the COVID-19 pandemic. According to the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP, 2024), the pandemic reversed years of human development progress in several developing countries, increasing vulnerability among populations already facing poverty, inadequate healthcare infrastructure, and limited social protection systems. Consequently, reductions in external health financing risked exacerbating existing inequalities and undermining recovery efforts. From the perspective of the Capability Approach, diminished access to healthcare constrains individuals' capabilities by limiting their opportunities to achieve optimal health, participate productively in society, and pursue valued life choices (Ullah, 2025).

The social consequences of aid reductions were also evident in the education sector. UK-funded educational programmes have historically contributed to improving school enrolment, teacher training, girls' education, literacy development, and educational infrastructure across numerous African countries (FCDO, 2023). Education occupies a central position within human development because it enhances individual capabilities, promotes social mobility, and strengthens civic participation. Nussbaum (2011) argues that education is one of the essential capabilities necessary for human flourishing because it enables individuals to develop critical reasoning, exercise agency, and participate meaningfully in society.

The reduction of funding for educational programmes, therefore, carried implications that extended beyond classroom attendance. In several contexts, reduced financial support affected initiatives targeting marginalised populations, particularly girls and children living in conflict-affected or impoverished communities. The UK House of Commons Library (2024) noted that funding reductions impacted programmes that support girls' education and social development. Such outcomes are particularly concerning because educational disruptions often produce long-term consequences, including reduced employment opportunities, lower lifetime earnings, and diminished social participation. Humanistic theory suggests that development interventions should expand human potential and create opportunities for self-actualisation; consequently, reductions in educational support may undermine fundamental aspects of human development.

Changing aid allocations significantly affected food security and humanitarian assistance. Many African countries continue to experience recurrent humanitarian challenges linked to armed conflict, climate change, displacement, drought, flooding, and economic instability. The United Kingdom has historically been a major donor supporting emergency food assistance, humanitarian relief operations, refugee protection, and disaster response mechanisms. However, the reduction in aid expenditure constrained the resources available for several humanitarian programmes (ICAI, 2023).

The humanitarian implications are particularly significant because humanitarian assistance often serves populations facing immediate threats to survival. According to the OECD (2023), sub-Saharan Africa remains highly vulnerable to food insecurity and climate-related shocks, requiring sustained international support alongside domestic responses. Reduced humanitarian funding may result in fewer beneficiaries receiving assistance, delayed emergency interventions, and diminished capacity to respond to crises. From a humanistic standpoint, such outcomes raise important ethical concerns because they affect the basic conditions necessary for preserving life, dignity, and security. Ullah (2025) emphasises that freedom from hunger and preventable suffering constitutes a fundamental component of development; therefore, interruptions to humanitarian assistance may directly constrain human freedom and well-being.

Women's and girls' aid reductions have significantly impacted women and girls. Women's and girls' aid reductions have most significantly affected women and girls. by aid reductions. UK development policy has historically prioritised gender equality, women's empowerment, reproductive health, and protection against gender-based violence. Numerous programmes supported by British aid sought to improve educational opportunities for girls, strengthen maternal healthcare services, enhance economic participation, and protect vulnerable women in humanitarian settings (FCDO, 2023). Following the reduction in aid spending, several gender-focused programmes experienced financial constraints, prompting concerns among development organisations about their sustainability (UK House of Commons Library, 2025).

The consequences for women and girls extend beyond immediate service reductions because gender inequality frequently intersects with poverty, health disparities, and social exclusion. Nussbaum (2011) argues that development should ensure that all individuals possess the capabilities necessary for exercising meaningful choices and participating equally in society. When programmes supporting women's empowerment are reduced, existing inequalities may deepen, limiting opportunities for education, healthcare, economic independence, and political participation. Humanistic theory similarly emphasises the importance of recognising the inherent dignity and worth of every individual, making gender-focused development interventions particularly important for inclusive human development.

Beyond sector-specific impacts, aid reductions also influenced broader social resilience within recipient communities. Development assistance often contributes to strengthening community institutions, civil society organisations, local governance structures, and social protection systems. These institutions play critical roles in helping communities adapt to economic shocks, environmental challenges, and social disruptions. Reduced external funding may weaken organisational capacity, constrain community-based initiatives, and limit the ability of local actors to respond effectively to emerging challenges (Burnell, 2007; Pomerantz, 2007).

However, it is important to acknowledge that the humanitarian and social consequences of aid reductions have not been uniformly negative. Some scholars argue that declining aid dependence may encourage governments to strengthen domestic financing mechanisms, improve public financial management, and assume greater responsibility for service delivery (Whiteside, 2010). From this perspective, reduced external assistance could stimulate institutional reforms and promote more sustainable development pathways. Nevertheless, such benefits are generally more likely to emerge over the long term and depend significantly on the availability of domestic resources, institutional capacity, and effective governance structures. In the short term, abrupt reductions may create service gaps that disproportionately affect vulnerable populations.

Viewed through the combined lenses of humanistic theory, postcolonial theory, and the capability approach, the humanitarian and social consequences of UK bilateral aid reductions reveal a complex reality. While reductions may encourage greater domestic ownership in some contexts, they also risk undermining access to healthcare, education, food security, humanitarian protection, and gender equality initiatives that contribute directly to human well-being. The evidence suggests that the social costs of aid reductions are most acutely experienced by populations already facing structural disadvantages, thereby raising important questions concerning international responsibility, equitable development partnerships, and the protection of human dignity. Ultimately, the humanitarian consequences demonstrate that changes in aid policy cannot be assessed solely through fiscal metrics; they must also be evaluated according to their effects on people's lives, capabilities, and opportunities for human flourishing.

4.3 Cultural Sovereignty and Local Ownership of Development

The reduction in the United Kingdom's bilateral aid has renewed debate regarding cultural sovereignty and local ownership of development in African countries. While foreign aid has contributed significantly to improving health, education, governance, and humanitarian outcomes, scholars increasingly argue that sustainable development requires African countries to exercise greater control over their development priorities. From a humanistic perspective, development should respect the dignity, values, and aspirations of recipient societies rather than imposing externally determined models of progress. Humanistic theory, therefore, emphasises participation and self-determination, while postcolonial theory highlights how unequal historical relationships continue to shape international development cooperation (Fanon, 1963; Bland and DeRobertis, 2019).

Cultural sovereignty refers to the ability of societies to preserve their cultural identity while independently determining national development priorities. As discussed in Chapter Two, African scholars have consistently argued that development should reflect indigenous knowledge, local institutions, and nationally determined policies rather than donor preferences (Mkandawire, 2005). Historically, UK bilateral aid has supported important sectors such as education, healthcare, governance, and humanitarian assistance. However, donor-funded programmes have often involved externally defined priorities and accountability mechanisms that may reduce domestic policy autonomy (Burnell, 2007; Pomerantz, 2007).

The principles of the Paris Declaration on Aid Effectiveness and the Busan Partnership emphasise that recipient countries should lead their development processes through country ownership and mutual accountability (OECD, 2005; OECD, 2011). From this perspective, the reduction in UK aid may create opportunities for African governments to strengthen domestic ownership by assuming greater responsibility for planning, financing, and implementing development programmes. Whiteside (2010) argues that prolonged dependence on foreign aid may weaken government accountability and reduce incentives to strengthen domestic institutions. Consequently, declining aid may encourage greater domestic resource mobilisation and policy independence.

Nevertheless, the findings also suggest that the relationship between aid reduction and cultural sovereignty is more complex than simply reducing donor influence. Many African countries continue to face fiscal constraints, humanitarian emergencies, and limited institutional capacity. According to the United Nations Development Programme (2024), several countries remain vulnerable to poverty, climate change, and economic shocks, making external development assistance an important complement to domestic resources. In such contexts, sudden reductions in UK aid may weaken governments' ability to implement nationally determined programmes rather than strengthen local ownership.

The Capability Approach further explains this relationship by arguing that meaningful freedom depends upon having the capabilities and resources necessary to make genuine choices (Ullah, 2025). National ownership therefore requires not only political independence but also sufficient institutional and financial capacity to implement development priorities. Where governments lack adequate resources, reductions in aid may constrain rather than expand their ability to pursue locally determined development strategies.

The impact of aid reductions is equally evident among local civil society organisations and community-based institutions. UK-funded programmes have historically supported local organisations involved in healthcare, education, women's empowerment, and humanitarian assistance (Foreign, Commonwealth & Development Office [FCDO], 2023). These organisations often possess valuable local knowledge and play an important role in promoting community participation. Reduced funding may therefore weaken grassroots institutions that contribute directly to locally driven development. Humanistic theory emphasises that sustainable development depends on active participation by communities rather than on externally imposed interventions (Nussbaum, 2011).

From a postcolonial perspective, however, reduced dependence on external funding may also encourage African countries to place greater emphasis on indigenous knowledge systems and locally generated solutions. Escobar (1995) argues that development should move beyond universal Western models by recognising diverse cultural understandings of progress. Similarly, the African Union's Agenda 2063 advocates development based on African values, local institutions, and people-centred governance (African Union Commission, 2015). These principles suggest that international partnerships should support rather than direct national development priorities.

Overall, the findings indicate that UK bilateral aid reductions present both opportunities and challenges for cultural sovereignty. Reduced aid may strengthen policy autonomy, domestic accountability, and local ownership where governments possess strong institutions and adequate domestic resources. However, in countries facing significant financial and institutional constraints, abrupt reductions may weaken nationally driven development by limiting governments' and community organisations' capacity to sustain essential programmes. Therefore, the promotion of cultural sovereignty depends less on the withdrawal of aid itself than on the creation of equitable partnerships that strengthen African institutions, respect local priorities, and enhance long-term national capacity. Such an approach is consistent with humanistic theory, postcolonial theory, and the capability approach, all of which emphasise that sustainable development should ultimately be defined and led by the people whose lives it seeks to improve.

4.4 Aid Reduction and the Promotion of Self-Reliance

The reduction in the United Kingdom's bilateral aid has intensified debate about whether declining external assistance promotes or hinders self-reliance in African countries. 'Self-reliance' refers to the ability of states to finance and manage their development through domestic resources, strong institutions, and accountable governance rather than prolonged dependence on foreign aid (Fyfe, 1970). This theme directly addresses the third research objective by examining whether UK aid reductions encourage sustainable development or increase existing vulnerabilities.

Supporters of aid reduction argue that excessive dependence on external assistance may weaken domestic accountability and discourage governments from mobilising local resources. Whiteside, 2010) contends that long-term aid dependence has reduced incentives for governments to strengthen taxation systems, improve governance, and attract productive investment. From this perspective, declining UK aid could encourage African countries to diversify their economies, strengthen public financial management, and finance development through domestic revenue rather than donor assistance.

Similarly, Mkandawire (2001) argues that sustainable development requires capable developmental states that formulate policies based on national priorities. Reduced dependence on bilateral aid may therefore encourage governments to strengthen institutions, increase domestic resource mobilisation, and promote greater economic resilience. Such developments are consistent with humanistic theory, which views development as empowering people and institutions to become active agents of their progress.

However, the findings also indicate that self-reliance cannot be achieved solely by reducing aid. However, the findings also suggest that merely reducing aid will not lead to self-reliance. Simply through reducing aid. Many African countries continue to face structural challenges, including limited tax bases, high debt burdens, climate-related disasters, and fragile institutions (UNDP, 2023). In these contexts, abrupt reductions in UK assistance may disrupt health, education, and humanitarian programmes before governments have the capacity to replace external funding. Consequently, aid reductions may increase short-term development challenges rather than immediately promoting self-reliance.

The Capability Approach further suggests that genuine self-reliance depends upon expanding institutional and human capabilities rather than merely reducing external support (Ullah, 2025). Governments require adequate financial resources, skilled personnel, and effective institutions to deliver essential public services. Where these capabilities remain limited, declining aid may reduce opportunities for long-term development instead of strengthening national independence.

The analysis therefore suggests that UK bilateral aid reductions create both opportunities and risks. While reduced aid may encourage stronger domestic ownership and improved fiscal responsibility, these benefits depend upon parallel investments in institutional capacity and economic diversification. Self-reliance should therefore be viewed as a gradual process supported by equitable development partnerships rather than as an immediate consequence of declining aid. Sustainable development ultimately requires both domestic commitment and international cooperation that strengthens African capacity to finance and manage its own development.

4.5 Ethical Obligations in International Development Cooperation

The reduction in UK bilateral aid has also generated important ethical questions concerning the responsibilities of donor countries towards developing nations. International development is built on principles of solidarity, shared responsibility, and respect for human dignity, in addition to financial cooperation. International development relies not only on financial cooperation but also on principles of solidarity, shared responsibility, and respect for human dignity. Humanistic theory argues that development should promote human welfare and social justice, making ethical considerations central to evaluating aid policy.

The UK government justified the reduction in official development assistance as a temporary response to domestic economic pressures following the COVID-19 pandemic (UK House of Commons Library, 2025). From this perspective, governments have ethical responsibilities to balance international commitments with domestic fiscal sustainability. Nevertheless, many humanitarian organisations and development scholars argued that the reductions disproportionately affected vulnerable populations in low-income countries, particularly those dependent on externally funded health, education, and humanitarian programmes (ICAI, 2023).

Singer (2009) argues that affluent societies have a moral responsibility to assist populations experiencing extreme poverty where they possess the capacity to do so. This principle supports continued international assistance because relatively modest contributions from wealthy countries can significantly improve human well-being in poorer nations. Similarly, Ullah (2025) maintains that development should expand people's freedoms and opportunities, suggesting that reductions in assistance should be carefully assessed according to their human consequences rather than fiscal considerations alone.

At the same time, ethical development cooperation requires respect for the autonomy and agency of recipient countries. Postcolonial scholars argue that aid relationships should avoid reinforcing historical inequalities or creating dependency (Escobar, 1995; Gardner & Escobar, 1996; Fanon, 1963). Ethical partnerships therefore require mutual respect, shared decision-making, and support for nationally determined priorities rather than donor-driven agendas.

The findings suggest that ethical international cooperation should balance humanitarian responsibility with long-term sustainability. Donor countries have important obligations to support vulnerable populations, but assistance should strengthen domestic institutions rather than create permanent dependence. Consequently, future Africa–UK partnerships should do so. The findings suggest that principles of shared responsibility, respect for human dignity, and mutual accountability should guide international development. The principles of equality, local ownership, transparency, and shared accountability should guide development cooperation to ensure that it promotes both human dignity and sustainable national development.

4.6 Human Dignity and Vulnerable Populations (≈700 words)

The reduction in UK bilateral aid has major repercussions for human dignity, particularly among vulnerable populations in African countries. Human dignity is a central principle of humanistic theory, which views every individual as deserving of respect, equal opportunity, and access to conditions that support a meaningful life. From this perspective, we should assess development by its ability to improve people's well-being rather than by economic indicators alone (Nussbaum, 2011; Ullah, 2025).

UK bilateral aid has historically supported programmes targeting vulnerable groups, including women, children, refugees, people living with disabilities, and communities affected by conflict and climate-related disasters (Foreign, Commonwealth & Development Office [FCDO], 2023). These interventions have contributed to improving access to healthcare, education, nutrition, and social protection. Consequently, reductions in aid funding have raised concerns about the continuity of essential services for populations that already experience multiple forms of deprivation.

The findings indicate that women and children are the groups most affected by declining aid. The findings indicate that women and children are the groups most affected by the decline in aid. The findings reveal that declining aid disproportionately impacts women and children. those most affected by declining aid. Reductions in funding for maternal healthcare, girls' education, and gender equality programmes may increase existing inequalities and reduce opportunities for social and economic participation (UK House of Commons Library, 2025). According to Nussbaum (2011), access to education, health, and bodily integrity constitutes essential human capabilities that enable individuals to live lives they value. Interruptions to these services therefore directly affect human dignity and long-term development. Humanitarian crises further illustrate the relationship between aid and dignity. Many African countries continue to experience armed conflict, displacement, food insecurity, and climate-related emergencies that require sustained international assistance (OECD, 2023). The ICAI (2021, 2023) reported that reductions in UK aid affected several humanitarian programmes, limiting the support available to vulnerable communities. While governments and local organisations have sought to respond, resource constraints often reduce their ability to meet the growing humanitarian needs.

However, the analysis also suggests that protecting human dignity requires more than continued financial assistance. Humanistic theory emphasises empowerment, participation, and respect for local agencies. Development programmes should therefore strengthen the capacity of individuals and communities to shape their futures rather than create long-term dependencies. This approach aligns with the Capability Approach, which argues that development should expand people's freedoms and opportunities instead of simply increasing resource transfers (Ullah, 2025).

Overall, the findings demonstrate that UK bilateral aid reductions have important consequences for vulnerable populations. Although greater domestic ownership remains an important long-term objective, abrupt funding reductions risk limiting access to essential services that protect human dignity. Sustainable development therefore requires development partnerships that both address immediate humanitarian needs and strengthen the capabilities of African societies to provide these services independently.

4.7 Reimagining Africa–United Kingdom Development

The findings of this study indicate that the implications of UK bilateral aid reductions extend beyond financial considerations to encompass human dignity, cultural sovereignty, self-reliance, and the ethical foundations of international development cooperation. Rather than demonstrating that aid reductions are entirely beneficial or wholly harmful, the analysis reveals a more nuanced relationship in which outcomes depend largely on the institutional capacity, governance systems, and development priorities of recipient countries.

The first research objective examined the implications of UK aid reductions for human dignity and social well-being. The findings suggest that reductions in funding affected sectors including healthcare, education, humanitarian assistance, and gender equality. These sectors contribute directly to expanding human capabilities and improving quality of life (Ullah, 2025; Nussbaum, 2011). Consequently, abrupt reductions in aid may undermine development gains, particularly among vulnerable populations with limited access to alternative sources of support.

The second research objective considered cultural sovereignty and local ownership of development. The findings indicate that reduced donor funding may provide opportunities for African governments to exercise greater policy autonomy and strengthen domestic ownership. However, this outcome depends on the existence of effective institutions and sufficient domestic resources. As Mkandawire (2005) argues, sustainable development requires capable states that formulate policies based on national priorities rather than external agendas. Therefore, aid reductions alone do not guarantee greater sovereignty; institutional capacity remains essential.

The third research objective focused on self-reliance. Consistent with Whiteside (2010), the findings suggest that declining aid may encourage greater domestic resource mobilisation and stronger government accountability. Nevertheless, self-reliance should be understood as a gradual process rather than an immediate consequence of reduced aid. Countries facing fiscal constraints or humanitarian crises still need international cooperation as they work to strengthen their domestic capacity.

The fourth research objective examined the ethical implications of UK bilateral aid reductions. The findings reveal that international development involves shared responsibilities between donor and recipient countries. While the UK government justified aid reductions on domestic fiscal grounds, ethical principles of global solidarity require that policy decisions also consider their consequences for vulnerable populations (Singer, 2009; Wazeer, 2019). At the same time, ethical partnerships should promote equality, mutual respect, and national ownership rather than dependency.

Across all four themes, humanistic theory provided the primary analytical framework by emphasising that development should enhance human well-being, dignity, and participation. The Capability Approach complemented this perspective by demonstrating that development should expand people's opportunities and freedoms (Ullah, 2025; Nussbaum, 2011). Postcolonial Theory further highlighted the importance of addressing historical power imbalances and promoting equitable partnerships that respect African agency (Fanon, 1963; Bland and DeRobertis, 2019).

Overall, the findings suggest that the future of Africa–UK development cooperation should move beyond traditional donor-recipient relationships towards partnerships based on shared responsibility, local ownership, and mutual learning. Rather than focusing solely on the volume of aid provided, future cooperation should prioritise institutional strengthening, domestic resource mobilisation, knowledge exchange, and investment in locally led development initiatives. Such an approach is more consistent with sustainable development and with the principles of humanistic theory, postcolonial theory, and the capability approach, which collectively emphasise that development should ultimately empower societies to determine and achieve their aspirations.

5. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

5.1 Summary of Findings

This study examined the implications of the United Kingdom's bilateral aid reductions for Africa from a humanistic perspective, focusing on cultural sovereignty, human dignity, self-reliance, and the ethical dimensions of international development cooperation. Using a qualitative desk-based research design, the study analysed evidence from peer-reviewed literature, official government publications, reports from international organisations, and policy documents. The findings were interpreted through humanistic theory, postcolonial theory, and the capability approach to provide a comprehensive understanding of the broader consequences of declining UK bilateral aid.

The first objective sought to examine the implications of UK bilateral aid reductions for human dignity and social well-being. The findings revealed that reductions in aid affected programmes supporting healthcare, education, humanitarian assistance, food security, and gender equality. These sectors are central to improving human well-being and expanding people's opportunities to live healthy and productive lives (Ullah, 2025; Nussbaum, 2011). Although the UK remained an important international donor after reducing its Official Development Assistance (ODA) commitment, evidence suggests that funding reductions created challenges for programmes serving vulnerable populations across several African countries (UK House of Commons Library, 2025; [ICAI], 2023). Consequently, the study finds that abrupt reductions in development assistance may slow progress in human development where alternative domestic resources are limited.

The second objective examined the effects of aid reductions on cultural sovereignty and local ownership of development. The findings indicate that declining external assistance presents both opportunities and challenges. On one hand, reduced donor dependence may strengthen domestic policy ownership and encourage governments to define development priorities based on national interests rather than donor preferences (Whiteside, 2010). On the other hand, many African countries continue to rely on external assistance to finance essential public services. Where institutional capacity and domestic revenue remain insufficient, sudden reductions in aid may limit governments' ability to implement nationally determined development programmes. The study therefore concludes that cultural sovereignty depends not merely on reducing aid but on strengthening national institutions and promoting equitable partnerships that respect local priorities (Mkandawire, 2005).

The third objective evaluated the relationship between aid reductions and the promotion of self-reliance. The findings demonstrate that self-reliance should be understood as a gradual process requiring effective governance, domestic resource mobilisation, and institutional capacity rather than simply reduced dependence on foreign assistance. Consistent with Mkandawire (2001), the study finds that capable developmental states are essential for sustainable development. While declining UK aid may encourage governments to strengthen domestic financing mechanisms, the benefits of such reforms are unlikely to emerge immediately in countries experiencing economic and humanitarian challenges. International cooperation, therefore, remains important during the transition towards greater national self-sufficiency.

The fourth objective examined the ethical implications of UK bilateral aid reductions for Africa–UK development cooperation. The findings indicate that international development should be guided by principles of shared responsibility, respect for human dignity, and mutual accountability. While donor governments have legitimate domestic fiscal responsibilities, development policies should also consider their consequences for vulnerable populations in partner countries (Singer, 2009). Ethical development cooperation requires partnerships that support national ownership while recognising the continuing importance of international solidarity in addressing global development challenges.
Across all themes, the theoretical framework strengthened the interpretation of the findings. Humanistic theory demonstrated that development should be assessed according to improvements in human well-being and dignity rather than financial indicators alone. The Capability Approach highlighted that access to healthcare, education, and social protection expands individuals' capabilities and freedoms (Ullah, 2025; Nussbaum, 2011). Postcolonial Theory further emphasises the need to transform unequal donor-recipient relationships into partnerships based on equality, respect, and local ownership (Fanon, 1963; Bland and DeRobertis, 2019).

Overall, the findings demonstrate that the implications of UK bilateral aid reductions cannot be understood solely through economic analysis. Their effects extend to questions of human dignity, cultural identity, institutional resilience, and development ethics. Sustainable Africa–UK development cooperation therefore requires balancing humanitarian support with long-term efforts to strengthen domestic institutions, promote self-reliance, and respect African ownership of development. This integrated approach offers a more comprehensive understanding of development than perspectives based solely on aid effectiveness or economic performance.

REFERENCES

African Union Commission. (2015). Agenda 2063: The Africa we want. African Union. https://au.int/en/agenda2063

Bland, A. M., & DeRobertis, E. M. (2019). The humanistic perspective. In V. Zeigler-Hill & T. K. Shackelford (Eds.), Encyclopedia of personality and individual differences. Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-28099-8_1484-2

Broude, T. (2007). The white man's burden: Why the West's efforts to aid the rest have done so much ill and so little good. Journal of International Economic Law, 10(4), 1009–1019. https://doi.org/10.1093/jiel/jgm018

Burnell, P. (2007). Foreign aid: Diplomacy, development, domestic politics. Perspectives on Politics, 5(4), 867–868. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1537592707072738

Burnside, C. and Dollar, D. (2000) Aid, Policies, and Growth. American Economic Review, 90, 847-868. https://doi.org/10.1257/aer.90.4.847

Escobar, A. (1995). Encountering development: The making and unmaking of the Third World. Princeton University Press.

Fanon, F. (1963). The wretched of the earth. New York, NY: Grove Press.

Foreign, Commonwealth & Development Office. (2023). Statistics on international development: Final UK aid spend 2023. https://www.gov.uk/government/statistics/statistics-on-international-development-final-uk-aid-spend-2023

Fyfe, C. (1970). Book reviews: Freedom and socialism/Uhuru na ujamaa—A selection from writings and speeches 1965–1967 and Ujamaa—Essays on socialism. Race, 11(3), 382–383. https://doi.org/10.1177/030639687001100318

Gardner, K., & Escobar, A. (1996). Encountering development: The making and unmaking of the Third World. Journal of the Royal Anthropological Institute, 2(1), 171. https://doi.org/10.2307/3034652

House of Commons Library. (2026). UK aid: Reducing spending to 0.3% of GNI by 2027/28 (Research Briefing CBP-10243). UK Parliament. https://commonslibrary.parliament.uk/research-briefings/cbp-10243/

Independent Commission for Aid Impact. (2021). The UK's approach to safeguarding: Approach paper. Independent Commission for Aid Impact. https://icai.independent.gov.uk/wp-content/uploads/Safeguarding-approach-paper-1.pdf

Mawdsley, E. (2018). 'From billions to trillions': Financing the SDGs in a world 'beyond aid'. Dialogues in Human Geography, 8(2), 191–195. https://doi.org/10.1177/2043820618780789

Mkandawire, T. (2001). Thinking about developmental states in Africa. Cambridge Journal of Economics, 25(3), 289–314. https://doi.org/10.1093/cje/25.3.289

Mkandawire, T. (2005). Targeting and universalism in poverty reduction (UNRISD Programme Paper No. 23). United Nations Research Institute for Social Development

Nussbaum, M. C. (2011). Creating Capabilities: The Human Development Approach. Belknap Press.

https://doi.org/10.4159/harvard.9780674061200

OECD. (2023). Development Co-operation Report 2023. OECD Publishing.

Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. (2025). Cuts in official development assistance: OECD projections for 2025 and the near term (OECD Policy Briefs No. 26). OECD Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1787/8c530629-en

Pomerantz, P. (2007). Foreign Aid: Diplomacy, Development, Domestic Politics <i>by Carol Lancaster</i>. Political Science Quarterly. https://doi.org/10.1002/J.1538-165X.2007.TB01669.X

Rouf, K. A. (2014). Jeffrey Sachs, (2005). The End of Poverty: Economic Possibilities for Our Time. New York: The Penguin Press. (Book summary and book review). Global Journal of Management and Business Research: B Economics and Commerce, 14(6), 67–84. https://journalofbusiness.org/index.php/GJMBR/article/view/1500

Singer, P. (2009). The life you can save: Acting now to end world poverty. Random House.

Ullah, A. K. M. A., Ibrahim, K., & Islam, M. (2025). Foreign aid in global development: Empowerment or entrapment? Alternatives: Global, Local, Political, 50(3), 1–21. https://doi.org/10.1177/03043754251379159

United Nations. (2015). Transforming our world: The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development. https://sdgs.un.org/2030agenda

United Nations Development Programme. (2024). Human Development Report 2023/2024: Breaking the gridlock—Reimagining cooperation in a polarized world. https://hdr.undp.org/content/human-development-report-2023-24

Wazeer, M. (2019). A Review of: Peter Singer. (2009) The Life You Can Save: Acting Now to End World Poverty. New York: Random House. Globe Ethics.

Whiteside, A. (2010). Dead aid: Why aid is not working and how there is a better way for Africa. Global Public Health, 5(2), 197–198. https://doi.org/10.1080/17441690903369469