Barriers to Leadership Participation among Young Women in Rural Communities

[1]**Doubra Tiriki Sien**

[1]Department of Mass Communication, Ebelle Jonathan College of Arts and Social Sciences, University: Igbinedion University Okada, Edo State, Nigeria
sien.doubra@iuokada.edu.ng

[2]Dr. Habsat Joyce Imhanobe

[2]Department of Mass Communication, Ebelle Jonathan College of Arts and Social Sciences
University: Igbinedion University Okada, Edo State, Nigeria
Email: imhanobe.habsat@iuokada.edu.ng

Abstract

The participation of women in leadership remains a critical issue in development discourse, particularly within rural communities in sub-Saharan Africa. While global policy frameworks increasingly emphasise gender-inclusive governance, young women in rural settings continue to encounter structural and cultural barriers that limit their participation in leadership and decision-making. This work examines the barriers to leadership participation among young women in rural communities, using Ijaw communities in Bayelsa State, Nigeria, within the Niger Delta region as contextual illustrations. The research adopts a qualitative desk research approach supported by contextual interview insights from individuals familiar with community governance structures in rural riverine communities. Drawing on gendered power theory and participatory communication theory, the study argues that leadership exclusion is both structural and communicative in nature. Cultural expectations, economic limitations, educational inequalities, and institutional arrangements combine to restrict women's access to leadership spaces. Communication practices within community institutions further reinforce perceptions that leadership is primarily a male domain. The findings reveal that sociocultural norms, economic marginalisation, limited educational opportunities, and institutional biases interact to constrain leadership participation among young women. The study also highlights contradictions within traditional governance systems, where women exercise informal influence through social networks and community mobilisation but remain under-represented in formal leadership positions. The paper concludes that increasing women's leadership participation requires integrated strategies that combine educational empowerment, economic opportunities, institutional reforms, and communication initiatives aimed at reshaping social perceptions of leadership. Such interventions are essential for promoting inclusive governance and sustainable development in rural communities.

Keywords: Women leadership, rural governance, participatory communication, gender inequality, Niger Delta

1: INTRODUCTION

Women's participation in leadership has become an important indicator of democratic governance, social inclusion, and sustainable development. Across the world, scholars and policy institutions increasingly emphasise the need for gender-balanced representation in decision-making structures. International frameworks such as the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) recognise women's leadership as essential to achieving equitable development and effective governance (United Nations, 2015).

Despite these global commitments, leadership participation remains uneven across different regions and social contexts. In many developing societies, particularly within rural communities, women continue to face significant obstacles that limit their involvement in leadership and governance structures. These barriers are often rooted in longstanding cultural traditions, economic inequalities, and institutional arrangements that privilege male authority.

Nigeria presents a complex example of these dynamics. While women have made gradual progress in urban politics, professional leadership, and public administration, participation remains limited in many rural communities where traditional governance structures continue to shape decision-making processes (Arowolo & Aluko, 2010). Leadership positions within these

communities are frequently associated with age, lineage, and male authority, which often marginalises both women and young people.

The Niger Delta region provides a particularly relevant context for examining these issues. The region consists of diverse ethnic communities with rich cultural traditions and complex socio-economic conditions shaped by oil exploration and environmental challenges. Among these communities are the Ijaw people, who inhabit several riverine areas across Bayelsa State, Nigeria (Alagoa, 2005).

Women within these communities contribute significantly to household economies, community mobilisation, and social welfare activities. However, their contributions are often informal and rarely translated into formal leadership positions within community governance institutions.

Young women experience an additional layer of exclusion because leadership positions are frequently linked to seniority and long-standing social influence. As a result, gender and age intersect to create structural barriers that limit leadership opportunities.

This study examines the barriers to leadership participation among young women in rural communities, using Ijaw communities in Bayelsa State, Nigeria as illustrative examples of broader patterns that exist across many rural societies in Africa.

1/1 Objectives of the Study

The objectives of the study are to:

1. Identify the socio-cultural factors that restrict women's leadership participation in rural communities.
2. Examine the economic and educational barriers affecting women's access to leadership opportunities.
3. Analyse institutional and communication dynamics that influence leadership inclusion.
4. Explore possible strategies for improving leadership participation among young women.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Women and Leadership in Rural Governance

2.1 Women's Leadership and Governance Structures

Scholarly discussions on women's leadership consistently underscore the persistence of gender inequalities within governance systems, particularly in contexts shaped by long-standing traditional norms. In many societies, leadership has historically been constructed as a male domain, closely tied to lineage, inheritance, and patriarchal authority structures. As Raewyn Connell (2009) argues, these systems are not merely social arrangements but deeply institutionalised frameworks that reproduce gender hierarchies across generations. Consequently, women's access to leadership positions is not only limited by formal barriers but also by entrenched cultural ideologies that legitimise male dominance in governance.

In rural African contexts, governance institutions often operate through traditional mechanisms such as councils of elders, chieftaincy systems, and community-based committees. These structures tend to be dominated by older men, whose authority is reinforced by both cultural legitimacy and historical precedence. As noted by Aili Mari Tripp (2015), such institutional arrangements systematically marginalise women and youth by excluding them from formal decision-making processes. This exclusion is not merely procedural but also symbolic, as it reinforces the perception that leadership is inherently masculine. As a result, women's voices are often absent from critical discussions on community development, governance, and resource allocation.

2.2 Gender Roles and Cultural Expectations

Cultural norms and social expectations play a pivotal role in shaping leadership opportunities and participation. In many patriarchal societies, gender roles are rigidly defined, assigning men to public spheres of influence, such as politics and governance, while women are expected to prioritise domestic responsibilities, including caregiving and household management. These socially constructed roles not only influence how communities perceive leadership but also shape individual self-perceptions and aspirations. Women may internalise these expectations, leading to self-limiting beliefs about their suitability for leadership positions.

Furthermore, the cultural framing of leadership as a masculine domain creates both visible and invisible barriers to women's participation. Andrea Cornwall (2003) highlights that even in contexts where formal restrictions on women's leadership have been removed, deeply embedded societal attitudes continue to discourage women from engaging in governance processes. This phenomenon reflects the power of symbolic representation: when leadership is consistently associated with male traits such as authority, assertiveness, and control, women may be perceived as less capable or legitimate leaders. Consequently, cultural expectations function as a powerful mechanism of exclusion, limiting both opportunities and motivation for women to participate in leadership roles.

2.3 Education and Economic Resources

Access to education and economic resources is a critical determinant of women's participation in leadership. Education equips individuals with essential skills such as critical thinking, effective communication,

and political awareness, all of which are fundamental for leadership engagement. According to the World Bank (2012), women with higher levels of education are more likely to exhibit confidence, actively participate in civic processes, and pursue leadership opportunities. Education also enhances women's ability to navigate institutional structures and advocate for their rights within governance systems.

However, in many rural communities, significant disparities in educational access persist. These inequalities are often driven by factors such as poverty, inadequate infrastructure, and cultural attitudes that devalue female education. In some cases, families may prioritise the education of male children over female children, perpetuating gender gaps in literacy and skill acquisition. Additionally, limited economic resources further constrain women's ability to engage in leadership, as financial independence is often linked to social influence and decision-making power. Without adequate education and economic empowerment, women are less likely to develop the competencies and confidence necessary to assume leadership roles, thereby reinforcing existing gender inequalities.

2.4 Communication and Leadership Legitimacy

From a communication perspective, leadership legitimacy is not solely determined by formal authority but is constructed through discourse, representation, and public narratives. Media portrayals, community dialogues, and political rhetoric play a crucial role in shaping societal perceptions of who is qualified to lead. When these communication channels consistently depict men as leaders and decision-makers, they reinforce gendered stereotypes that associate authority with masculinity. This process contributes to the normalisation of male-dominated leadership structures and marginalises women's contributions.

Moreover, communication patterns within communities can either facilitate or hinder women's participation in leadership. Informal conversations, public meetings, and institutional messaging often reflect and reproduce existing power dynamics. When women's voices are excluded or undervalued in these spaces, their perspectives remain absent from decision-making processes. This lack of representation not only undermines the inclusivity of governance systems but also limits the diversity of ideas and solutions available to address community challenges. Therefore, transforming communication practices is essential for enhancing women's leadership legitimacy and promoting more equitable governance structures.

2.5. Theoretical Framework

This study is grounded in two complementary theoretical perspectives: Gendered Power Theory and Participatory Communication Theory. Together, these frameworks provide a comprehensive understanding of how structural inequalities and communication processes interact to shape women's participation in leadership.

2.5.1 Gendered Power Theory

Gendered Power Theory examines how social institutions distribute power and authority along gendered lines, often privileging men while subordinating women. According to Shirin Rai (2008), patriarchal systems are deeply embedded in political, economic, and social structures, systematically positioning men in dominant roles and limiting women's access to power. These dynamics are not accidental but are maintained through institutional practices, cultural norms, and historical legacies.

In rural communities, gendered power relations are reinforced through family systems, traditional customs, and governance institutions. For instance, inheritance patterns, leadership succession rules, and community decision-making processes often favour men, thereby excluding women from positions of authority. This structural imbalance perpetuates a cycle of inequality in which women remain under-represented in leadership, and their interests are inadequately addressed. By highlighting these systemic dynamics, gendered power theory provides a critical lens for understanding the barriers to women's leadership and the need for transformative change.

2.5.2 Participatory Communication Theory

Participatory Communication Theory emphasises the importance of inclusive dialogue and active community engagement in development processes. It challenges top-down approaches to governance by advocating for the involvement of all stakeholders, particularly marginalised groups, in decision-making. As articulated by Jan Servaes (2008), sustainable development is most effective when individuals are empowered to participate meaningfully in communication processes that shape their lives.

In the context of women's leadership, this theory highlights the critical role of communication in enabling or constraining participation. When women are excluded from public discourse, community meetings, and leadership platforms, their perspectives and experiences are overlooked in governance decisions. This exclusion not only undermines democratic principles but also limits the effectiveness of development initiatives. Conversely, creating inclusive communication spaces can empower women to voice their concerns, contribute to decision-making, and assume leadership roles. Participatory Communication Theory thus underscores the need for deliberate efforts to foster inclusive dialogue as a pathway to gender-equitable governance.

3. METHODOLOGY

3.1 Research Design

This study adopts a qualitative research approach, primarily grounded in desk research, to explore the multidimensional barriers affecting young women's participation in leadership within rural communities. A qualitative design is particularly appropriate because it enables an in-depth examination of social realities, cultural norms, and institutional dynamics that shape leadership participation. Rather than relying on numerical data, the study emphasises interpretive analysis to better understand how gendered experiences influence access to leadership.

The research draws on a wide range of secondary sources, including peer-reviewed academic literature, development reports, policy documents, and scholarly books on gender and governance. These sources provide a strong theoretical and empirical foundation, allowing for a critical synthesis of existing knowledge. This approach ensures that the study is grounded in established scholarship while also engaging with contemporary debates on gender inequality and governance.

3.2 Data Sources and Contextual Scope

To complement the desk-based analysis, the study incorporates contextual insights from informal interviews with individuals familiar with leadership practices in rural communities in the Niger Delta region. These insights enrich the analysis by providing real-world perspectives on governance dynamics and leadership participation.

The study does not focus on a single case study but instead uses Ijaw communities in Bayelsa State as illustrative examples of broader patterns across rural African governance systems. This approach allows for analytical generalisation, where specific observations are used to explain wider structural trends. By situating the study within this context, the research bridges localised experiences with broader theoretical insights.

4. BARRIERS TO WOMEN'S LEADERSHIP PARTICIPATION

4.1 Socio-Cultural Barriers

4.1.1 Patriarchal Norms

Socio-cultural norms represent one of the most persistent barriers to women's leadership participation in rural communities. Patriarchal systems, which prioritise male authority, shape both governance structures and everyday social interactions. Leadership is often perceived as a male responsibility, reinforced through cultural traditions and community expectations.

In many rural settings, leadership forums such as village councils are dominated by male elders who are regarded as custodians of authority. Despite their presence in community activities, women rarely receive recognition as primary decision-makers. This exclusion reinforces gender hierarchies and limits women's influence in governance processes.

4.1.2 Traditional Gender Roles

Traditional gender roles further constrain women's leadership opportunities by assigning them primary responsibility for domestic duties. Activities such as childcare, household management, and subsistence work consume significant time and energy, leaving limited capacity for leadership engagement.

For young women, these challenges are compounded by expectations of deference to elders and established authority. Cultural norms often discourage them from speaking in public or participating actively in decision-making processes. These expectations not only restrict participation but also shape self-perception, reducing confidence and leadership aspirations.

4.2 Economic Barriers

4.2.1 Limited Access to Resources

Economic inequality significantly limits women's leadership participation. Access to land, credit, and financial assets is often restricted, reducing women's economic independence and influence within communities.

In many rural contexts, economic power is closely linked to leadership legitimacy. Individuals with financial resources are better positioned to support community initiatives and gain recognition. Consequently, women's limited access to resources diminishes their visibility and authority in governance structures.

4.2.2 Economic Dependency

Economic dependency further restricts women's autonomy and ability to participate in leadership. Many women rely on male relatives for financial support, which can limit their decision-making power and mobility.

Leadership roles often require financial commitments, networking, and travel. Economically dependent individuals may struggle to meet these demands, resulting in reduced participation. Addressing economic dependency is therefore essential for enhancing women's leadership opportunities.

4.3 Educational Barriers

4.3.1 Limited Educational Opportunities

Education is a key factor in developing leadership capacity. However, rural communities often face infrastructural challenges such as inadequate schools, long travel distances, and financial constraints. These challenges disproportionately affect girls, leading to lower educational attainment.

Cultural attitudes that prioritise men's education further exacerbate this inequality. Limited education reduces women's ability to participate confidently in governance processes and leadership training initiatives.

4.3.2 Information Gaps

Beyond formal education, access to information plays a critical role in leadership participation. Many rural communities lack effective communication channels for disseminating information about leadership opportunities and civic engagement.

As a result, young women may remain unaware of platforms where they can contribute to decision-making. These information gaps limit both awareness and participation, reinforcing existing inequalities.

4.4 Institutional and Political Barriers

4.4.1 Policy and Structural Limitations

Institutional frameworks often reflect existing gender inequalities. Although national policies may promote gender inclusion, implementation at the local level is frequently inconsistent. Traditional governance systems may continue to operate according to longstanding practices that exclude women.

This gap between policy and practice highlights the limitations of formal reforms without local enforcement. Structural barriers persist when institutional norms remain unchanged.

4.4.2 Organisational Dynamics

Organisational practices within community groups can also reinforce gender inequality. Even when leadership positions are formally open to women, cultural biases may influence selection processes.

Perceptions of leadership as a male domain can affect election outcomes and appointments, limiting women's access to leadership roles. Addressing these dynamics requires both institutional reform and cultural change.

4.5 Oil Economy and Leadership Access

The political economy of natural resource management plays a significant role in shaping leadership structures in the Niger Delta. Community leaders often act as intermediaries between local populations, government agencies, and oil companies. As noted by Augustine Ikelegbe (2005), these roles involve negotiations over development projects and resource allocation.

Because these positions are linked to economic benefits and political influence, access is often controlled by established power networks. Historically, these networks have been male-dominated, limiting women's participation in leadership related to resource governance.

4.6 Contradictions within Traditional Governance

Despite these barriers, traditional governance systems also present opportunities for women's influence. Women have historically played important roles in informal leadership structures, such as market associations and community mobilisation efforts. Yvonne Oruwari (2006) highlights the significance of these contributions.

These informal roles demonstrate that women are active participants in community governance, even when their leadership is not formally recognised. This contradiction suggests that leadership potential exists but is constrained by institutional and cultural barriers.

5. FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

5.1 Findings

The findings of this study reveal that young women's exclusion from leadership in rural communities is not the result of a single barrier but rather the outcome of deeply interconnected structural, cultural, economic, and communicative dynamics. These findings align with broader scholarly debates on gender and governance, which emphasise the systemic nature of inequality and the persistence of patriarchal power structures across institutional contexts.

5.1.1 Socio-Cultural Construction of Leadership as Masculine

A central finding of this study is that leadership within rural communities is socially constructed as a masculine domain, reinforced through entrenched socio-cultural norms and practices. Patriarchal systems continue to define authority in ways that privilege men, positioning them as natural leaders while relegating women to supportive or domestic roles. This reflects what Raewyn

Connell (2009) conceptualises as hegemonic masculinity, where dominant forms of masculinity are institutionalised and normalised within social structures.

The persistence of these norms is evident in community governance practices, where decision-making spaces—such as councils of elders—are predominantly male-dominated. Women's exclusion from these spaces is not only physical but also symbolic, as their absence reinforces the perception that leadership is inherently male. As Aili Mari Tripp (2015) argues, such exclusion reflects broader patterns of gendered political marginalisation in African governance systems.

Furthermore, these socio-cultural constructions influence individual aspirations and self-perception. Women, particularly young women, may internalise societal expectations that discourage leadership ambition, thereby perpetuating cycles of under-representation. This finding underscores the importance of addressing both structural and psychological dimensions of gender inequality.

5.1.2 Economic Inequality and Leadership Accessibility

The study also finds that economic inequality plays a critical role in shaping access to leadership opportunities. In many rural communities, leadership legitimacy is closely tied to economic capacity, including the ability to contribute financially to community initiatives and maintain social networks. Women's limited access to resources such as land, credit, and income-generating opportunities significantly constrains their participation in these processes.

This finding is consistent with the work of Naila Kabeer (1999), who emphasises the importance of resources, agency, and achievements in understanding empowerment. Without access to economic resources, women's agency is restricted, limiting their ability to engage meaningfully in leadership.

In addition, economic dependency on male relatives further reduces women's autonomy. Leadership roles often require financial investment, mobility, and sustained engagement—conditions that economically marginalised individuals may struggle to meet. As a result, economic inequality not only limits access to leadership but also reinforces existing gender hierarchies within governance structures.

5.1.3 Educational Disparities and Leadership Capacity

Another key finding is that educational disparities significantly affect women's leadership capacity and participation. Education serves as a critical tool for developing the skills necessary for effective leadership, including communication, critical thinking, and civic engagement. However, in many rural contexts, girls face systemic barriers to education, resulting in lower levels of attainment compared to their male counterparts.

According to the World Bank (2012), education enhances women's confidence and participation in public life. The findings of this study support this assertion, demonstrating that limited educational opportunities restrict women's ability to engage in governance processes and leadership training programmes.

Beyond formal education, the study identifies significant information gaps that further hinder participation. Many young women lack access to information about leadership opportunities and civic engagement platforms, limiting their awareness and involvement. This aligns with Amartya Sen's (1999) capability approach, which highlights the importance of access to knowledge and opportunities in expanding individual freedoms. Without access to information and education, women's capabilities remain constrained, perpetuating their exclusion from leadership.

5.1.4 Institutional Reproduction of Gender Inequality

The findings indicate that institutional structures within rural governance systems often reproduce and reinforce gender inequalities, even in contexts where formal policies promote inclusion. Traditional governance institutions frequently operate independently of national policy frameworks, maintaining practices that exclude women from leadership roles.

This reflects what Shirin Rai (2008) describes as gendered institutionalism, where formal and informal rules interact to sustain unequal power relations. Even when leadership positions are theoretically open to women, informal norms and cultural expectations influence selection processes, often favouring male candidates.

Moreover, organisational dynamics within community groups and local institutions can perpetuate exclusion. Gender biases in elections, appointments, and decision-making processes limit women's access to leadership roles. These findings highlight the limitations of policy interventions that fail to address underlying cultural and institutional dynamics.

5.1.5 Political Economy of Resource Governance and Gendered Access

The study further reveals that the political economy of natural resource management significantly shapes leadership access in the Niger Delta region. Leadership roles related to resource governance—particularly in oil-producing communities—are often associated with economic power, political influence, and strategic networks.

As noted by Augustine Ikelegbe (2005), community leaders frequently act as intermediaries between local populations, government agencies, and multinational corporations. These roles involve negotiating development benefits and managing community relations, making them highly influential positions.

However, access to these roles is typically mediated by male-dominated networks, which exclude women from participation. This finding demonstrates how economic structures intersect with gender norms to reinforce inequality, particularly in contexts where resource control is central to governance.

5.1.6 Informal Leadership and Hidden Forms of Agency

Despite the structural barriers identified, the study finds that women exercise significant forms of informal leadership within their communities. These include participation in market associations, social networks, and community mobilisation initiatives, which play a critical role in local governance and development.

Yvonne Oruwari (2006) highlights the importance of these informal structures in facilitating women's participation and influence. The findings of this study support this perspective, demonstrating that women are active contributors to community life, even when their roles are not formally recognised.

These informal forms of leadership reflect what Saba Mahmood (2005) describes as alternative expressions of agency, where individuals navigate and negotiate within existing power structures rather than directly challenging them. This suggests that women's leadership potential is not absent but is instead constrained by institutional and cultural barriers.

5.1.7 Communication, Representation, and Leadership Legitimacy

Finally, the study finds that communication processes play a critical role in shaping leadership legitimacy and participation. Public narratives, community discourse, and media representations influence perceptions of who is qualified to lead. When leadership is consistently portrayed as male, these narratives reinforce gender stereotypes and limit women's participation.

This aligns with Andrea Cornwall (2003), who argues that discourse and representation are central to understanding power and inclusion. The findings suggest that women's exclusion from leadership is not only structural but also communicative, as dominant narratives shape both societal attitudes and individual aspirations. Transforming these narratives is essential for promoting inclusive leadership. By increasing the visibility of women leaders and fostering inclusive communication practices, it is possible to challenge stereotypes and expand opportunities for participation.

5.1.8 Synthesis of Findings

Taken together, these findings demonstrate that barriers to women's leadership participation are multidimensional and mutually reinforcing. Socio-cultural norms, economic inequalities, educational disparities,

institutional structures, and communication processes interact to create a system of exclusion that is both structural and symbolic.

At the same time, the presence of informal leadership and alternative forms of agency highlights the potential for transformation. Women are not passive actors but active participants in their communities, navigating constraints and contributing to governance in meaningful ways. Recognising and supporting these contributions is essential for advancing gender equality in leadership.

5,2. Discussion

5.2.1 Interconnected Nature of Structural Barriers

This study reveals that barriers to women's leadership participation are not isolated phenomena, but rather deeply interconnected and mutually reinforcing. Socio-cultural norms, economic inequalities, educational disparities, and institutional constraints operate simultaneously to produce a complex system of exclusion. This multidimensionality reflects broader theoretical perspectives on gender inequality, particularly the notion that power is embedded within overlapping social structures rather than confined to a single domain.

Patriarchal cultural norms shape access to education and economic resources, while limited access to these resources further reinforces women's marginalisation within governance systems. As Naila Kabeer (1999) suggests, empowerment must be understood as a process involving resources, agency, and achievements; the absence of any of these elements constrains individuals' ability to participate fully in societal processes. In this context, young women's leadership exclusion reflects a systemic imbalance in all three dimensions.

Moreover, these barriers operate both structurally and symbolically. Structurally, women are excluded through institutional arrangements and resource limitations. Symbolically, leadership is framed as a masculine domain, shaping perceptions of legitimacy and competence. This dual nature of exclusion highlights the need for interventions that address both material inequalities and cultural representations, such as policies that promote gender equity in leadership roles and initiatives that challenge traditional gender norms in organisational settings.

5.2.2 Communication, Power, and Leadership Legitimacy

From a communication perspective, the study underscores the central role of discourse in shaping leadership legitimacy. Leadership is not merely a function of formal authority but is constructed through narratives, representations, and everyday interactions. Dominant communication patterns within rural communities often portray men as authoritative figures, thereby reinforcing gendered assumptions about leadership.

This aligns with the arguments of Andrea Cornwall (2003), who emphasises the importance of discourse in shaping inclusion and exclusion within participatory processes. When leadership is consistently represented as masculine, women may be perceived as less capable or legitimate leaders, regardless of their actual competencies.

Furthermore, communication practices within community spaces—such as public meetings and informal discussions—often marginalise women's voices. This exclusion limits their ability to influence decision-making and reinforces existing power hierarchies. Addressing these challenges requires a shift toward more inclusive communication strategies that amplify women's voices and normalise their presence in leadership roles.

5.2.3 Informal Agency and Opportunities for Transformation

Despite the structural barriers identified, the findings also highlight the presence of informal forms of agency through which women influence community governance. Participation in market associations, social networks, and community mobilisation initiatives demonstrates that women are active contributors to local development.

These forms of engagement reflect what Saba Mahmood (2005) describes as non-liberal conceptions of agency, where individuals navigate and negotiate within existing power structures rather than directly opposing them. This suggests that women's leadership potential is not absent but is expressed in alternative forms that are often overlooked by formal governance systems.

Recognising these informal contributions provides a pathway to transforming leadership structures. By integrating informal leadership into formal governance processes, communities can create more inclusive systems that reflect the realities of women's participation.

6. POLICY IMPLICATIONS

6.1 Multi-Dimensional Intervention Strategies

The findings of this study suggest that effective strategies to enhance women's leadership participation must adopt a holistic and multi-dimensional approach. Addressing a single barrier in isolation is unlikely to produce sustainable change, given the interconnected nature of the challenges identified. Instead, interventions must simultaneously target socio-cultural norms, economic inequalities, educational disparities, and institutional constraints.

Expanding access to education for girls in rural communities is a critical priority. Education not only enhances individual capabilities but also shifts societal perceptions of women's roles. As emphasised by the World Bank (2012), education is a key driver of gender equality and social development.

In addition, promoting women's economic empowerment is essential for increasing their autonomy and influence within governance systems. Access to financial resources, credit facilities, and income-generating opportunities can enhance women's ability to participate in leadership and decision-making processes.

6.2 Institutional and Governance Reforms

Institutional reforms are also necessary to create enabling environments for women's leadership. This includes the implementation of gender-inclusive policies within community governance structures, as well as mechanisms to ensure accountability and transparency in leadership selection processes.

However, policy reforms must go beyond formal inclusion to address informal norms and practices that perpetuate exclusion, such as societal attitudes that discourage women from seeking leadership roles and cultural barriers that limit their opportunities for advancement. As Shirin Rai (2008) notes, institutions are shaped by both formal rules and informal dynamics; therefore, meaningful change requires addressing both dimensions.

Community-level interventions, such as leadership training programmes and mentorship initiatives, can also play a crucial role in supporting women's participation. These programmes can help build confidence, develop skills, and create networks that facilitate access to leadership opportunities.

6.3 Communication and Social Change

Communication strategies are central to transforming societal attitudes toward women's leadership. Public awareness campaigns, community dialogues, and media engagement can challenge stereotypes and promote positive representations of women in leadership roles.

Participatory communication approaches, highlighted by Jan Servaes (2008), emphasise the importance of inclusive dialogue in fostering social change. By creating spaces where women can express their perspectives and engage in decision-making, communities can promote more equitable governance systems.

7. CONTRIBUTION TO KNOWLEDGE

This study makes a significant contribution to existing scholarship by integrating gender studies with public relations and participatory communication perspectives in the analysis of rural leadership dynamics. While previous research has primarily focused on structural inequalities, this study highlights the critical role of communication narratives and discourse in shaping leadership legitimacy.

By examining how gendered representations

influence perceptions of authority, the study provides a more sophisticated perspective on leadership exclusion. This interdisciplinary approach bridges gaps between theoretical frameworks and practical realities, offering new insights into the relationship between communication and governance.

Furthermore, by using Ijaw communities in Bayelsa State as illustrative examples, the study provides context-specific insights that contribute to broader discussions on gender and governance in Africa. These findings are relevant not only to Nigeria but also to other regions with similar socio-cultural and institutional dynamics.

8. CONCLUSION

8.1 Summary of Key Insights

This study demonstrates that young women in rural communities face significant and multifaceted barriers to leadership participation. These barriers are rooted in socio-cultural norms, economic inequalities, educational limitations, and institutional dynamics that collectively reinforce gender inequality. The findings highlight the complexity of these challenges and the need for comprehensive approaches to address them.

8.2 Toward Inclusive Governance

Promoting women's leadership requires coordinated interventions across multiple domains, including education, economic empowerment, governance reform, and communication. These efforts must address both structural inequalities and symbolic representations that shape perceptions of leadership.

By fostering inclusive governance systems that recognise and support women's contributions, it is possible to create more equitable and sustainable development outcomes. Enhancing women's participation in leadership is not only a matter of social justice but also a critical factor in improving the effectiveness and responsiveness of governance.

8.3 Final Reflection

Ultimately, achieving gender-inclusive leadership in rural communities requires a transformative shift in both institutional practices and societal attitudes. By challenging entrenched norms and promoting inclusive communication, communities can create pathways for women to participate fully in leadership. Such transformation is essential for advancing gender equality and ensuring sustainable development across rural contexts.

REFERENCES

Alagoa, E. J. (2005). A history of the Niger Delta. Onyoma Research Publications.

Arowolo, D., & Aluko, F. (2010). Women and political participation in Nigeria. European Journal of Social Sciences, 14(4), 581–593.

Connell, R. W. (2009). Gender: In world perspective (2nd ed.). Polity Press.

Cornwall, A. (2003). Whose voices? Whose choices? Reflections on gender and participatory development. World Development, 31(8), 1325–1342. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0305-750X(03)00086-X

Ikelegbe, A. (2005). The economy of conflict in the oil rich Niger Delta region of Nigeria. Nordic Journal of African Studies, 14(2), 208–234.

Kabeer, N. (1999). Resources, agency, achievements: Reflections on the measurement of women's empowerment. Development and Change, 30(3), 435–464. https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-7660.00125

Mahmood, S. (2005). Politics of piety: The Islamic revival and the feminist subject. Princeton University Press.

Mama, A. (2011). Women's leadership in African politics. Zed Books.

Oruwari, Y. (2006). Women, development, and the Nigerian state. In Y. Oruwari (Ed.), Women, development and the Nigerian state (pp. 1–20). Vantage Publishers.

Rai, S. M. (2008). The gender politics of development: Essays in hope and despair. Zed Books.

Sen, A. (1999). Development as freedom. Oxford University Press.

Servaes, J. (2008). Communication for development and social change. Sage Publications.

Tripp, A. M. (2015). Women and power in postconflict Africa. Cambridge University Press.

United Nations. (2015). Transforming our world: The 2030 agenda for sustainable development. United Nations.

UNESCO. (2022). Global education monitoring report. UNESCO.

World Bank. (2012). World development report 2012: Gender equality and development. World Bank. https://doi.org/10.1596/978-0-8213-8810-5